## The Chess Endgame Studies of Richard Réti : Queens

John Beasley, 14 January 2012, minor corrections 2 March

7.1 (Wiener Tagblatt, 1925) looks trivial, $1 \mathbf{N c 3}+$ for 2 QxQ, but $\mathbf{1}$...Ka1 sets a stalemate trap and it will be the first of many. $2 \mathbf{Q a 4}+/ \mathbf{Q a 6}+\mathbf{K b 2} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{Q a 2}+\mathbf{K c} \mathbf{~ ( s e c o n d ~ s t a l e m a t e ~ t r a p ) ~} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{Q b 1}+\mathbf{K d 2} 5 \mathbf{Q b 2 +} \mathbf{K e 1}$ (third stalemate trap, see 7.1a) $\mathbf{6}$ Qc1+ Kf2 7 Nd1+ Kf3 (7...Ke2 8 Qb2+ and as after move 9 below) $\mathbf{8}$ Qc3+ Ke2 (8...Ke4 9 Qd4+ with 9...Kf3 10 Qd5+ or 9...Kf5 10 Ne3+, 8...Kf4 9 Qf6+ Ke4 and again 10 Qd4+) 9 Qb2+ Kd3 (fourth stalemate trap and the most elegant of the four, see 7.1b) $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{Q b 3}+\mathbf{K d 2} / \mathrm{Ke} 2$ ( $10 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 411$ Qd5+) $11 \mathbf{Q a} 2+\mathbf{K d 3} \mathbf{1 2 ~ N b 2 +}$ (the queen can do no more, but the knight takes up the baton) Ke3/Ke2 (12...Kc3 $13 \mathrm{Na} 4+$ ) $\mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{N c} 4+$ Kf3 $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{N e 5 +}$ and at last the capture of the queen will be possible. Stalemate defences like this had been explored before, but nothing like as intensively.


If White can disentangle himself in 7.2 (1st Prize, Shakhmaty, 1928/I) he can expect to have a routine win. Even counting Black's rook and bishop as approximately equivalent to White's queen, which in truth they aren't, White is already a pawn up, and Black will not find it easy to defend his b-pawn. However, the natural attack 1 Qf1 can be met by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Be} 5$, and after $2 \mathrm{Qxb} 5+\mathrm{Ke} 7$ the threat of mate on h 8 will force White to settle for perpetual check. Better is $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{K h} 6$ threatening to extricate the king by Kh5 and Kg 4 , but is not $\mathbf{1}$...Be5 still a nuisance? It is, and it compels White to backtrack and play $2 \mathbf{K g} 7$ (see 7.2a).

If now $2 \ldots$ Bxf6+ then 3 gxf6, after which the rook will fall and White will win the pawn ending. This leaves 2...Bh2/Bg3 as the only moves to avoid immediate material loss, and White advances by $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{c 4}$. If $3 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4$ then 4 c 5 undermining Black's support for e5, hence 3...bxc4 and we have 7.2b.

4 bxc4, perhaps? No, $4 \ldots$ Be5, and White has no good move ( 5 c 5 Bxf6+ 6 gxf6 dxc5 and it will be Black who wins). White must throw his e-pawn to use up a tempo, $\mathbf{4}$ e5, and now 4 ...Bxe5 5 bxc4 gives the burden of moving to Black. He has only 5...Bxf6+ $\mathbf{6}$ gxf6 Rh8 7 Kxh8 Kd7 hoping for 8 Kg 7 Ke 6 winning, but of course White has seen this one before: $\mathbf{8 ~ K g 8 ~ K e 6 ~} 9 \mathrm{Kg} 7$.

And because 7.2a is a position of reciprocal zugzwang, there is a note that 1 Kg 7 can be met by $1 \ldots$ Be 5 after which we have 7.2 a with White to play, though I would expect the move 1 Kg 7 to be tried only by a cook-hunter because it does nothing to further White's strategic aims.

