## 1. Pawn studies

[The pawn study was one of Mandler's favourite fields, and his achievements compare with the best. It is gencrally accepted that the leading composer of pawn studies to date has been Grigoriev, and in terms of numbers this is certainly true. Grigoriev's collected works include over a hundred pawn studies, Mandler's Studie fewer than thirty; Grigoriev took half the prizes in the 1936 pawn ending tourney of La Stratégie, Mandler did not. But numbers are only half the story, and Mandler's best pawn studies are in no way inferior to the best of Grigoriev's. Several of his favourites are to be found in this chapter, and they range from full-blooded masterpieces to the most delicate of lightweight classics.]

## Pawn against pawn



White to move and win
Four-man positions are very popular among the chess public, because they tempt the solver to have a go, and this is particularly true of pawn endings. The solver is surprised when he discovers the solution not to be quite as easy as the simplicity of the position had led him to belicve. Here, the solver, if he is solving honestly and not just trying to guess the composer's intention, will start by trying 1 Kxb 7 . But this does not work; Black's reply $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ brings his king within the square of White's pawn, and White's king is too far away to defend it. Neither is 1 Kb 6 correct, on account of $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ 2 Kc 5 Kc 33 f 4 b 5 etc . Correct is $1 \mathrm{Kd6}$ Ka3 (not 1...Kb3, the king must not
block his own pawn) 2 Kc5 Ka4 3 f4 b5 4 f5 b4 5 Kc 4 (a difficult move to find, because the White king loses two tempi while Black only loses one) b3 6 Kc3 Ka3 $7 \mathrm{f6}$ b2 $8 \mathrm{f} 7 \mathrm{~b} 1 Q 9 \mathrm{f} 8 \mathrm{Q}+$ and wins. If $1 . . . b 5$ then $2 \mathrm{Kc} 5 \mathrm{~Kb} 33 \mathrm{Kxb5}$ ( 3 f 4 ? Kc 3 and draws) Kc3 4 Kc 5 Kd 35 Kd 5 and wins.
[This study illustrates how an idea can pass through several hands, gaining something each time. Duras (Národni listy 1905) showed how White can sometimes win a pawn race by decoying the Black king so that White's promotion gives check: White $\mathrm{Kb} 4, \mathrm{~Pb} 2$ (2), Black Kh6, $\mathbf{P g} 7$ (2), play $1 \mathrm{Kc5}$ and either $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 52 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{~g} 43 \mathrm{Kd} 4 \mathrm{Kg} 54 \mathrm{~b} 5 \mathrm{~g} 35 \mathrm{Ke} 3$ Kg4 6b6 Kh3 $7 \mathrm{~b} 7 \mathrm{~g} 28 \mathrm{Kf} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 29 \mathrm{~b} 8 \mathrm{Q}+$ or 1 ... Kg6 2 b4 Kf7 3 b5 Ke7 4 Kc6 Kd8 5 Kb 7 g 56 Ka 7 g 47 b 6 g 38 b 7 g 2 9 b8Q+. Grigoriev (Izvestia 1928) sharpened this by letting Black promote first: White Kd3, Pf2 (2), Black Ka4, Pb6 (2), play $1 \mathrm{Kd4}$ and either $1 . . . \mathrm{b} 52 \mathrm{f} 4$ b4 3 f5 b3 4 Kc 3 Ka 35 ff ctc or $1 . . \mathrm{Kb} 5$ 2 Kd5 Ka6 3 f4 Kb7 4 f5 Kc7 5 Ke6 Kd8 $6 \mathrm{Kf7}$. Mandler sharpened the play still further by starting with a refusal to capture. There is now only one main line and there are minor alternatives at moves 4 and 5 (White can play $5 \mathrm{Kd4}$ instead of Kc 4 , or $4 \mathrm{Kd4}$ and $5 \mathrm{f5}$ ), but the opening move and the climax are both so striking that the study has become one of the all-time classics.]

## A study particularly useful to beginners

* 1.2 (S310, RP47)

Šachové uměni 1949


White to move and draw
It is easy to see that White cannot prevent Black from capturing the White pawn. If Black can achieve this while the pawns are still in their present positions, he will always win, because the capture will put his king on c4, and this is one of the three critical squares $\mathrm{b} 4, \mathrm{c} 4, \mathrm{~d} 4$, two ranks in front of the pawn, whose occupation guarantees the win. To avoid this, White must advance his pawn to c5 in the course of the play, unless Black prevents him by advancing his own pawn first.

If White advances his pawn to c 5 , he must meet its capture by playing Kc 3 , thus stopping Black from occupying one of the critical squares. If bowever Black plays his pawn to 55 , the critical squares become $\mathrm{b} 3, \mathrm{c} 3, \mathrm{~d} 3$, and White must reply to the capture by playing Kc2.

If White plays 1 c5? Black replies 1...Ke2, and this ensures the win; White must play to gl or g 3 , and he will be left too far away from c3. But 1 ...Kd2 and 1...Kc2 will not be good enough for Black, because White can reply 2 Kf 2 or Kf1 (but not $2 \mathrm{Kf3}$ on account of $2 . . \mathrm{Kd} 3$ ) and he will reach c3 in time.

So the first move will be a king move to f1, f2, or f3. Let us start by trying

1 Kf 3 . After $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 2$ (1...c5 is wholly bad and even loses, while $1 . . \mathrm{Kc}$ 2 allows the draw) 2 Kf 2 ( 2 c 5 Kd 3 and 2 Ke 4 Kc 3 3 c5 Kc4 both lose, though Black must not meet 2 c 5 by $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 3$ on account of 3 Ke 2 ) Kd 3 (ог $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 3$ or $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ) 3 c5 $\mathrm{Kd} 4 / \mathrm{Kc} 4$ and the White king has only two moves to cover the three files which separate him from c3. However, had he played I Kf2 or I Kfl, he could have met l... Kd2 by 2 c 5 Kd 33 Ke , arriving at c 3 just in time.

We are now reduced to Kfl and Kf2. But after 1 Kfl ? c5 2 Kf 2 Kd 2 White again finds himself three files away from the critical square with only two moves to get there. The correct move is $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{K f} \mathbf{2}$. Now White can meet 1 ...c5 by 2 Ke3. But after 2...Kc2 he must not play 3 Ke4 Kc3 4 Kd 5 , because $4 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 4$ would win; instead, he must play 3 Ke 2 Kc 34 Kd 1 Kxe4 5 Kc 2 with a draw. And $1 . . . \mathrm{Kd} 2$ is met, as we have already seen, by 2 c5 Kd3 3 Ke1 Kd4 4 Kd2 Kxc5 5 Kc3.

We have gone into this simple study in some detail, because although it will give no trouble to experienced players it is very useful to beginners.

## Close and distant opposition

1.3 (S311, RP48)

Tijdschrift 1921
(with R. Réti)


White to move and draw
We analyse this study and the next from
the inside out, looking first at what is going to happen later in the solution, then seeing what the early moves have to be in order to create favourable conditions for it.

1) Black's move ...h5 comes into consideration only when the White king cannot reply by moving to $g 5$.
2) The White pawn can make the first pawn move if the White king is alrcady on e5 or f5, or if its advance will leave White with the opposition. So as long as the pawns are in their present positions, Black cannot put the kings into opposition (either close or distant) because White will then draw by h 5 .
3) If Black plays ...g6 while his king is on the seventh rank, White must take up the distant opposition; if the Black king is on the sixth rank, White must take up the close opposition (the vertical opposition is always implied); if the Black king has reached the fifth rank, ...g6 is always a winning move.
4) As long as the Black king has not reached the fifth rank, the opposition is harmful. If Black has it, White draws by h5; if White has it, Black wins by ...g6. If the Black king has reached f6, the pawns still being where they are, White must prevent its advance to the fifth rank. Which move is correct, Ke4 or Kg4? Only Ke4. If White plays $\mathrm{Kg4}$, giving the king configuration $84 / \mathrm{f6}$, Black wins by 1...Ke5 2 Kh 5 Kf 43 Kg 6 Kg 44 Kxg 7 h 5. But if the White king is on e4, White can meet ...g6 or ... Ke6 by Kf4. The squares e4/f6, and likewise f4/e6, mutually correspond, and the side which has to move white the kings are in this position is in zugzwang: White to move loses, Black to move can only draw.
5) After 1 Kg 3 (Kg4) Kf7, the White king cannot move to the f-file. $2 \mathrm{Kf3}$ and 2 Kf 5 would allow Black to win by $2 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$, and 2 Kf 4 by $2 \ldots$ Ke 6 . So, from the diagram position, the Black king can play to f6 without White's being able to play to e4 in reply. However, there is another
square which corresponds to f6, and this is $\mathbf{h 5}$. If Black has to move in the position h5/f6, gaining the fifth rank does not help him: 1...Ke5 2 Kg6 Kf4 3 Kxg7 h5 $4 \mathrm{Kf6!} \mathrm{Kg} 45 \mathrm{Ke} 5$ and draws. White to move in this position loses. So f6 and 15 are also corresponding squares.
6) f7 and g4 form a further pair of corresponding squares. If the kings are on these squares and White is to move, 1 h .5 is met by 1 ... Ke6 $2 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{Kf} 6$ (see point 2 above), 1 KfS and 1 Kf 3 by $1 \ldots g 6$ (point 3), I Kf4 by I...Ke6 (point 4), and I...Kg3 by $1 . . \mathrm{Kf6}$, since the White king has access neither to e 4 nor to 155 (points 4 and 5). If Black is to move, 1 ...g6 does not come into consideration ( $2 \mathrm{Kf3}$ ), and neither does 1 ...Ke6 ( 2 KP 4 ). I...Kg6 fails against 2 Kf 4 Kh 53 Kg 3 g 64 Kb 3 , and 1...Kf6 against 2 Kh 5 (point 5).
7) This has fed us to the opening move. 1 Kg 4 is met by 1 ...Kf7, but White must bring his king close enough to meet ...Kf6 by Kh5, and this leaves him no choice but 1 Kg 3 . Now White will meet 1 ...Kf7 by 2 Kg 4 .
8) After $1 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 72 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Kf6} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ $\mathrm{Kf7}$ the White king is out of range of g 4 . However, there is another square which corresponds to $\mathbf{7 7}$, and that is e3. From here, White preserves the options of playing Kc4 or Kf4 if the Black king returns to the sixth rank, and of taking the distant opposition if Black plays ...g6. On 4...Ke7. White keeps the distant non-opposition.
9) The solution therefore unfolds 1 Kg 3 Ke 7 (for $\mathbf{1} . . \mathrm{Kf} 7$ see below) 2 Kf 3 Kf6 (2...Ke6 3 Kf4 Kf6 4 h5, 2...g6 3 Ke3) 3 Ke4 Kf7 (3...Ке6 4 Kf4, 3...Kg6 4 Kf4) 4 Ke 3 and either $\mathbf{4}$...Ke7 5 Kf 3 or $\mathbf{4} . . \mathrm{g} 65 \mathrm{Kf} 3$. If $\mathbf{1}$...Kf7 then $2 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 6 \mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ K h} 5$ etc.
[The computer has only a trifling comment to make on this impressive piece of logical analysis: with the kings on e3/e7, White needn't persist with the distant non-opposition, he can play h5 straight away.]

# Beneficial and harmful opposition 

1.4 (S312, RP61)

La Stratégie 1936


White to move and draw
We give the analysis of this study in the same fashion, dealing in turn with various configurations which arise in the course of the solution.

1) If we have $w K f 5, \operatorname{Pg} 4, b K f 7$, $\mathrm{Pg} 7 / \mathrm{h} 6$ with Black to move, $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6+$ 2 Kf 4 is only drawn ( $2 \ldots$ Kf6 $3 \mathrm{~g} 5+$, 2...Ke6 3 Ke4 g5 4 Kd 4 ). 1...g5 2 Ke 4 Kg 63 Kf 3 is clearly no better. White to move also draws: $1 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{~g} 6+2 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{h5}$ 3 Kf3 Ke6 4 Ke4 Kd6 5 Kd4 Kc6 6 Ke4! This position is therefore always drawn.
2) If we move the position down a rank, giving wKf4, Pg 3 , bKf6, $\mathrm{Pg} 6 / \mathrm{h} 5$, the result with Black to move is unchanged. However, White to move now loses. After $1 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5+2 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{~h} 43 \mathrm{Kf} 2$ Ke5 4 Ke 3 Kd 5 White cannot prevent the loss of his pawn, and any other starting move allows the Black king to reach g5. This position is therefore disadvantageous for the side which is to move. The opposition is beneficial.
3) In the position wKf5, $\mathrm{Pg} 3, \mathrm{bKf7}$, $\mathrm{Pg} 7 / \mathrm{h6}$, with the White pawn on its original square, White to move draws by 1 g 4 (see point 1). Black to move plays 1...g6t, and after $2 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \mathrm{~h} 53 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{Kf} 6$ White loses (point 2). The same position arises after $2 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Kf6} 3 \mathrm{Kf4}$ ( 3 g 4 Kg 5 4 Kf 3 h 5 ) h5 and after 2 Kf 4 Ke 6 !
(2...Kf6? $3 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5+4 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ and either 4...Ke6 5 Kd 4 or $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 65 \mathrm{Kf} 3$ ) 3 Ke 4 Kf6 4 Kf4 h5. Admittedly, after I...g6+ $2 \mathrm{Kf4}$ Ke6 White can try 3 g 4 in the hope of $3 . . . \mathrm{Kf6}$ ? $4 \mathrm{~g} 5+$, but Black has a better move in $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 5$. White's try 2 Kg 4 is met by 2 ...Kf6 3 Kh4 ( $3 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{h5}$ ) Kf5 $4 \mathrm{~g} 4+\mathrm{Kf4}$. So Black to move wins, and in the position f5/f7, the pawns being on their original squares, the opposition is harmful.
4) If the White king is on h 5 and the Black on $f 7$, the pawns not having moved, White to move loses, because the only move that does not leave g6 open to the Black king is 1 g4 and the reply l...Kf6 leaves him with no adequate defence. However, with Black to move White can draw: $1 . . . \mathrm{Kf6} 2 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Kf7} 3 \mathrm{~g} 5$. There is hence a fundamental difference between the positions $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f} 7$ and $\mathrm{h} 5 / \mathrm{f} 7$. In the first case the opposition is harmful to its possessor, in the second casc beneficial.
5) In the opening position, White cannot play I Kh5, because $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kf7}$ would leave him a lost position (point 4), and likewise not 1 g 4 on account of 1...Kf7 2 Kh5 Kf6. There remains only
 2 KfS , point 3). If Black plays $\mathbf{1 . . . K f 8 ,}$ keeping open the possibility of meeting Kh5 by ...Kf7, White secures the draw by 2 Kf5 Kf7 $3 \mathbf{~ g 4}$ (point 1); but not 2 Kf4 (2...Kf7 3 Kf5 g6+ and Black wins, point 3) nor 2 Kh 4 (2...Ke7 or $2 \ldots g 6$ ).
6) The reply $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$ is not dangerous, for example $2 \mathrm{Kf} 4 \mathrm{Kf7} 3 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{Kf6} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5+$.

The solution in brief unfolds $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{K g} 4$ Kf7 $2 \mathrm{Kh5}, \mathbf{1}$...Kf8 2 Kf5 Kf7 3 g 4.

## A simple stalemate

1.5 (S313, RP62)

La Stratégie 1936


White to move and draw

Black threatens to play $1 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 5$. Let us start by trying 1 g 3 . After $1 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 52$ Kh3 Black avoids 2...Kf5 in favour of $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kf6}$, and now he can meet 3 Kh 4 with 3...Kf5 4 Kh3 Kg5 5 Kh2 Kg4 6 Kg 2 h 4 winning. If instead 3 g 4 then $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 5$. If 3 Kg 2 Black again succeeds in gaining the square g4: 3 ... $\mathrm{Kf5} 4 \mathrm{Kf} 3 / \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 5$ etc.

Neither is 1 Kh 3 good. Black replics $1 . . \mathrm{Kg} 5$ and 2 g 3 loses as we have just seen, while 2 Kg 3 leads to a Black win as follows: $2 \ldots . .14+3 \mathrm{Kh} 3$ ( $3 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Kf5}$ ) Kh5 4 g 3 hxg 3 etc .

Correct is $\mathbf{1 ~ K g} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 52 \mathrm{Kh} 3(2 \mathrm{Kf} 3$ ? h4), and now White need not fear 2...l4 because 3 g 3 hxg 34 Kxg 3 leads to a draw. Black can still play 2...Kf5 and meet 3 Kh 4 by $\mathbf{3}$...Kf4 in the hope of 4 Kh 3 ? Kg 5 gaining square g4 as above. However, White saves himself by $4 \mathrm{g4}$, because the capture $4 \ldots \mathrm{hxg} 4$ gives stalemate.

## Moving the pawn also moves the critical squares

1.6 (RP54)

Národni Osvobození 1938


White to move and win
[This study is not given a diagram in Studie, though it is referred to in the exposition of the study which follows. In an ending with $K+P \vee K$, the "critical" squares are the three squares directly in front of the pawn and two ranks ahead of it, which the king must attain if he is to win. We have already met them in study 1.2.]

This study is not difficult. The try 1 Kc 6 fails against 1 ... Kxa7. White has nothing better than 2 d 4 (after 2 Kc 7 Black will promote first), and this harms him by moving the critical squares from the fifth rank to the sixth. There follows $2 \ldots b 5$ 3 Kxb5 Kb7, and Black draws.

To gain a decisive tempo, White interpolates 1 Kd6, and only after 1...Kxa7 does be play $2 \mathrm{Kc6}$. Now the ending after 2 ...b5 $3 \mathrm{Kxb5} \mathrm{~Kb} 74 \mathrm{~d} 4$ is won. Black therefore tries 2...Ka6, but 3 d 4 wins.

Black can try to shift the tempo back by playing $1 . . . \mathrm{Kb} 7$, because $2 \mathrm{a} 8 \mathrm{Q}+$ Kxa8 3 Kc 6 leads to the drawn position already seen. However, White has a better alternative in 3 Kc 7 b 54 d 4 b 4 $5 \mathrm{~d} 5 \mathrm{~b} 36 \mathrm{~d} 6 \mathrm{~b} 27 \mathrm{~d} 7 \mathrm{~b} 1 \mathrm{Q} 8 \mathrm{~d} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Ka} 7$ 9 Qd4+ Ka6 10 Qa4 mate. In this line,

White can hold back a8Q+ until his other pawn has reached d4 or d5.

So the solution is 1 Kd6 Kxa7 2 Kc6 etc, or $1 . . \mathrm{Kb} 72 \mathrm{a} Q \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Kxa} 83 \mathrm{Kc} 7$ etc.
[Mandler does indeed write "gain" a tempo at the start of the second paragraph, both in Studie and in 64 studií $z$ oboru vĕžových a pĕscových koncovek. On the face of it, we have a manocuvre to lose a move and not to gain one, but the effect is to leave White with a tempo in hand later on, and the impression which remains at the end is that he has gained something rather than losing it.]

White must lose two tempi in order to win
1.7 (S314)

Práce 1969


White to move and win
This study was developed from the previous one. 1 Kd 7 is bad on account of 1 ...Kb8! (but not $1 . . c 6$ ? which loses to both 2 Kc 7 and 2 bxc6) 2 d 3 c 63 bxc6 bxc6 4 Kxc 6 Ka 7 , giving a position which we have already seen to be drawn. 1 d4 also leads nowhere, this time on account of $1 . . . c 62 \mathrm{Kd} 6 / \mathrm{Kd} 7 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ with a draw (but not $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ at once, when 2 d 5 wins).

Nor does White win by 1 d 3 (a loss of a tempo, but at the wrong moment). Black refutes this by I...c6 or $1 \ldots$ Kb8.

Correct is $1 \mathrm{Kd8}$ ! (first loss of a tempo) Kb8 2 Kd 7 c6 $\mathbf{3}$ bxe6 bxc6

4 Kxc6 Ka7 5 d3 (second loss of a tempo) and we have reached the position in the previous study after $1 \mathrm{Kd6}$ Kxa7 2 Kc 6.

If Black plays 1...c6, there follows 2 Kc 7 (2 bxc6? bxc6 and Black wins) and either 2...cxb5 3 d4 b4 4 d5 b3 5 d6 b2 $6 \mathrm{~d} 7 \mathrm{blQ} 7 \mathrm{~d} 8 \mathrm{Q}+$ and wins, or $2 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 7$ 3 bxc6 bxc6 4 Kxc6 b5 5 Kxb5 etc. If 2...c5, White replies $3 \mathrm{Kxb6}$ c4 4 Kc 5 Ka7 5 Kxc 4 and wins.

## The Black king rushes down the board in alarm, only to go back up again

1.8 (S315)

Šachové umění 1949


White to move and win
White must obviously eliminate one of the Black pawns, but which? That on $f 7$ appears the more dangerous, but 1 Kxf 7 is not good enough: for example, 1...Kd6 $2 \mathrm{Kf} 6 \mathrm{Kd5} 3 \mathrm{Kf5} \mathrm{Kd} 4$ and the Black king will keep watch on the White pawns from below, or 2 Kg 7 Ke 53 Kh 6 Ke 4.

If Black adopts the same tactics in the true solution, he makes the win more difficult but does not prevent it. 1 Kxh7 Kd6 2 Kh 6 ( 2 Kg 7 Ke 5 ) Kd5 (this gives White more trouble than $2 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 53 \mathrm{Kg} 5$, when 3...Ke4 4 Kf6 leaves Black with no good move and other choices lead back into the main line) $3 \mathbf{K g 5}$ (3 Kh5 Ke4/Kd4 and 4...Ke3 will draw) Ke5 (again 3 ... Ke4 is met by $4 \mathrm{Kf6}$, while
3...Kd4 4 Kf6 Ke4 5 g4 Ke3 6 Ke5 transposes into the main line) $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{g 4 ~ K e 4}$ (if Black plays 4...f6 6 , the answer is not 5 Kg 6 Kf 46 Kh 5 Kg 3 with a draw but $5 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 46 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{fxg} 57 \mathrm{~g} 4) 5 \mathrm{Kf6} \mathrm{Ke} 3$ (5...Kf4 6 g 5 Kg 47 g 3 ) 6 Ke 5 Kf 2 (or $6 . . \mathrm{Ke} 27 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Ke} 38 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \mathrm{etc}) 7 \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 3$ $8 \mathrm{Kf5}$ (of course not $8 \mathrm{Kf6}$ on account of 8...Kf4) Kh4 9 Kf4 Kh5 10 g3 $\mathbf{K g} 6$
 14 Kf6 and White wins.

## There is more than one way to catch a queen

1.9 (S316)

Československýs sach 1954


White to move and win
After 1 f4? both the passed pawns will promote, with an obvious draw. If White is to win, he must stop the h-pawn, and hence the king must move at once to the sixth rank. I Kd6 does not come into consideration on account of 1 ...Kd4, after which the h-pawn is already beyond recall: 2 Ke6 Ke4 3 Kf6 Kf4. White would like to play I Ke6 and keep his options open, ready to intervene on either wing as necessary, but this also fails to win: $1 . . \mathrm{h} 52 \mathrm{Kf} 5 \mathrm{~Kb} 43 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{Kxa} 44 \mathrm{Ke} 4$ Kb 5 and Black draws.

So whether he likes it or not, White must block his own passed pawn with his first move and play $1 \mathrm{Kf6}$. If Black now tries $1 . . .15$, there follows 2 Kg 5 Kd 4 3 Kxh5 and he has lost his pawn without
compensation. He therefore plays 1...Kd4 2 f4 h5 (2...Ke4 3 fS h5 4 Kg 5 transposes) 3 Kg 5 Ke 4 (Black has no alternative but to put lis king on the diagonal a8-h1, but it will soon prove fateful to him) 4 f5 h4 5 f6 h3 6 f7 h2 7 f8Q h1Q 8 Qa8+ etc.

There remains $\mathbf{1} . . \mathrm{Kb4}$, and now we have a reworking of the theme of study 1.1: 2 f4 (not 2 Ke 5 on account of $2 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ 3 f4 h4 $4 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Kc5}$, when 5 f5 Kd6 will lose and White must play $5 \mathrm{Kf3}$ even to draw) Kxa4 3 f5 b5 4 Ke5 (4 Kg5 leads nowhere - it is remarkable that the march of the White king to c 3 has a greater effect than the advance of the pawn on f5) b4 5 Kd4 b3 6 Kc3 Ka3 7 f6 b2 8 f7 b1Q $9 \mathrm{f8Q}+$ and either $9 . . \mathrm{Ka} 210 \mathrm{Qa} 8$ mate or $9 \ldots \mathrm{Ka4} 10$ Qa8+ Kb5 11 Qb7+.

Just what is going on here?

> *1.10 (S317, RP58)
> Ceskoslovenský sach 1951


White to move and draw
The chief difficulty for the solver bere lies in finding out precisely what is going on. The principal enemy is clearly the Black pawn on c5. The White king can capture this in three moves. It appears to make no difference whether he approaches it via d3 or e4, but the more probable route seems to be via d3. However, Black replies 1...f6, and after 2 Kc 4 Kd 2 3 Kxc5 Kc3 both 4 Kd5 Kxf4 5 Ke6 Kg5
and $4 \mathrm{Kc4} \mathrm{Kxf4} 5 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kxf5}$ leave him with a win.

The route via e 4 brings no advantage, on the contrary it allows Black to get after the White pawns without the preliminary move ...f6: 1 Ke4? Kd2 2 Kd 5 Ke 33 Kxc 5 Kxf 4 and wins.

The next try will lie in the move 1 ff . This of course means giving up the capture of the pawn on c 5 . After $1 \mathrm{f6} \mathrm{Kc2}$ 2 Ke 4 c 43 Kd 5 c 34 Kd 6 Kd 25 Ke 7 c 2 6 Kxf7 clQ $7 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Qc} 5+8 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \mathrm{Qc} 3+$ 9 Kf8 Kd3 10 f7 Ke4 11 Kg 8 White certainly draws; the Black king is too far away. But we have been too hasty in playing $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 2$ for Black. After the better move 4 ... Kd3 Black wins, for example 5 Ke 7 c 26 Kxf 7 c 1 Q 7 Ke 7 ( 7 Kg 7 Qb 2 ) Qe3+ $8 \mathrm{Kf8} \mathrm{Ke} 49$ f7 Kf5 10 Kg 7 Qe 711 Kg 8 Qe6 12 Kg 7 Qt6+ $13 \mathrm{Kg} 8 \mathrm{Kg} 614 \mathrm{f} 5+$ Qxf5 15 f8Q Qe6+ etc.

By playing the faulty move I f6, White forfeits the possibility of taking the pawn on c 5 , yet in spite of this he nearly draws. It would be sufficient if the Black king could not play to the third rank at move 4. Slowly, we realize what is truly going on. It has nothing to do with the capture of the pawn on c 5 , but rather in the setting up of an ending with two pawns against the queen such that the Black king has been unable to reach the third rank before the promotion to queen. We deliberately let the pawn on c 5 be.

The requirement of keeping the Black king from the third rank is met by the following solution: 1 Kd 3 f6 2 Kc4 (2 Ke4? c4!) Ke2 3 Kd5! c4 4 Ke6 c3 5 Kxf6 c2 6 Ke7! clQ $7 \mathrm{f6}$ and draws. White must not play 6 Kg 7 ? on account of 6 ...c1Q 7 f6 Qc3 8 Kg 6 Ke 3 and Black wins.

Can the same result not be reached after 1 Ke4? Black now does not play 1...Ke2 (because 2 f6 Kf2 $3 \mathrm{Kd5} \mathrm{Ke} 3$ 4 Kxc5 Kxf4 5 Kd4 Kf5 6 Ke3 Kxf6 7 Kf4 draws) nor $1 . . . f 6$, but $1 \ldots$ Kd2

2 Ke 5 (2 f6 c4, 2 Kd 5 Ke 3 ) Kc3 (2...c4? $3 \mathrm{Kf6} \mathrm{c} 34 \mathrm{Kxf} 7 \mathrm{c} 25 \mathrm{f} 6$ and draws) 3 f 6 c4 $4 \mathrm{Kd6}$ c3 5 Ke 7 c 26 Kxf 7 clQ and wins.

## Luring a Black pawn to an apparently more favourable square

### 1.11 (S318, RP53) <br> Šachové umĕni 1949



White to move and win

The main line of this study has a very sharp point. After $1 \mathbf{K d 5} \mathbf{K b} 7$ the move 2 Ke6 fails against $2 \ldots \mathrm{f} 4$ ! 3 exf4 Кc6, because the apparently strong $4 \mathrm{f5}$ does not lead to a win. Who would have said that this move would force victory if the Black pawn now on g7 stood instead on g6, ready to capture the White pawn after its advance? Yet this is the only way to win. So White improves by inserting 2 Ke5, which temporarily prevents the advance of the Black f-pawn (2..f4 3 Kxf4 Kc6 4 Kg5 Kd5 5 Kg 6 Ke4 6 Kxg7 Kf3 $7 \mathrm{Kf7}$ e5 8 Ke6), and only after $2 . . . \mathrm{g} 6$ does he play 3 Ke6. If Black now tries the same defence as before, 3...f4 4 exf4 Kc6, there follows $5 \mathrm{f5}$ gxf5 6 f4 Kc5 7 Kxe7 Kd5 8 Kf6 Ke4 9 Kg5 and White wins. If $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 6$ then 4 f 4 etc .

The difficulty of this study is increased by a large number of tries, of which we give only the main ones. The move $1 \mathrm{Kc6}$ ? has only one refutation: 1 ...Ka7! and either 2 Kd 7 f 4 ! or 2 f 4 Ka ! Nothing else works. 1...g5 fails against 2 Kd 7
(2...e5 3 Ke6 f4 4 exf4 exf4 5 Kt5 or 2...f4 3 exf4 gxf4 4 Kxe7), l..f4 against 2 exf4 (2...Ka7 3 f5 Ka6 4 f 4 or $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 83 \mathrm{Kd} 7$ Kb7 $4 \mathrm{f5}$ ), and $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ against 2 Kd 7 (2..e5 3 Ke6 f4 4 e4 Kc7 5 Kxe5 f3 6 Kf4 Kd6 7 Kxf3 Ke5 $8 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{~g} 59 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kf4} 10$ Kd 4 g 4 11 e5 Kf3 12 e6 etc, or $2 \ldots \mathrm{f} 4$ 3 exf4 Kb74f5).

After the correct move $1 \mathrm{Kd5} \mathrm{~Kb} 7$, it would appear that 2 f 4 ? fails against 2...Kc7 3 Ке6 Kd8 4 Kxf5 Kc8 5 Kg6 Kt8 6 f5 Kg8 7 e4 Kf8 8 e5 e6! 9 f6 Kg8 and either 10 Kg 5 Kf 7 or $10 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{gxf6}$, but White can win by playing 5 Ke 6 ? with the continuation $5 . . . \mathrm{K}-6 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Ke} 87 \mathrm{e} 4$ Kf8 (7...Kd8 8 Kf7 etc) 8 Kd7 Kf7 9 e5 Kf8 10 e6 or 5 ...g6 6 e4 Kf8 7 Kd7 Kf7 8 e 5 etc. The true refutation of 2 f 4 proceeds 2...Kc7/Kb6 3 Ke6 Kc6/Kc5 4 Kxf5 Kd6! 5 e4e5 with a draw.

After 1 Kd 5 Kb 72 Kc 5 g 6 , the nove 3 f4 fails against $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 74 \mathrm{Ke} 6 \mathrm{Kd8} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 7$ Kd7 6 Kxg6 e6 $7 \mathrm{Kf7} \mathrm{Kd6} 8 \mathrm{Ke8}$ e5! 9 Kf7 exf4 10 exf4 Kd7.
[In the line 1 Kc 6 Ka 72 Kd 7 , the computer gives $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 6$ as an alternative drawing move for Black, but this is merely a transposition of moves; after 3 Ke6, Black finds he has to play 3...f4 after all.]

## White puts off the capture of an advancing Black pawn

1.12 (S319)

Národní Osvobození 1939


White to move and win

The solver must calculate the main line right through to the end before he can decide on the correct way to start. A knowledge of our opening study 1.1 will help him.

I Kxc7 fails against 1 ...Kb4 and 2...Kxc4, I f4 against l...b5 2 cxb5 d5 3 Kxc7 Kxb5 etc. Hence 1 Kc6. Now 1 ...d5 2 cxd5 b5 is hopeless on account of 3 Kxc7. Black plays $1 . . . b 52$ exd5 d5, and after 3 Kxd 5 Kxb 54 f 4 c 5 he will promote as quickly as White. But White plays 3 Kc 5 and postponcs the capture, for he wants to get his king to the fourth rank without loss of time. 3...d4 (3...Ka5 leads to a quick win after $4 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{~d} 45 \mathrm{~b} 6$ cxb6+ 6 Kxd4 Kb5 7 Kd 5 Ka 48 f 5 , or 5...Ka6 6 bxc7) 4 b6 cxb6+ 5 Kxd4 (we know the finish from study 1.1) b5 $6 \mathbf{f 4}$ b4 7 f5 b3 8 Kc 3 Ka 39 f6 b2 10 f 7 b 1 Q 11 f8Q+ and White wins. If Black tries to rescue himself by $5 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 5$, White wins by 6 Kd 5 Ka6 ( $6 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 47 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~b} 58 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{~b} 4$ $9 \mathrm{Kc} 4 \mathrm{etc}) 7 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~Kb} 78 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{Kc} 79 \mathrm{Ke} 6 \mathrm{Kd} 8$ $10 \mathrm{Kf7} / \mathrm{Kf6}$ etc.

## Impromptu

### 1.13 (S320, RP60) <br> Práce 1955



White to move and win
1 c4f52 Ka7! e5 3 c5 f4 4 c6f35c7 f2 5 c8Q f1Q 7 Qb7+ and wins.
[Before the advent of the computer, endings with $\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Pd} 4 \vee \mathrm{Q}$ were assumed to be drawn, so Mandler did not worry
about 2 c 5 Kd 53 d 4 c 54 Kb 7 f 45 exf 4 exf4 6 c6 f3 7 c 7 f 28 c 8 Q flQ , but computer analysis has shown that this also wins: 9 Qd7+ and mate on move 58 at the latest. Computers of the future may also have something to say about 2 Kb 7 e 53 c 5 f 44 c 6 f 35 c 7 f 26 c 8 Q , when White gets $\mathrm{Q}+2 \mathrm{P} \vee \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{P}$. But wins like these are impossible to demonstrate without artificial aid, whereas the elegant crispness of the author's 2 Ka 7 retains its charm. Studies such as 1.13 deserve to remain in the literature, even if we have to change the stipulation to something like "White to play and establish a simply won position within 9 moves".]

> Just when the solver thinks he has finished, he has to start all over again
1.14 (S321, RP55)

Národní Osvobozeni 1936


White to move and win
The average solver will perhaps start by playing 1 Ke 2 ? Kg 4 ?. Both moves are bad. White's 1 Ke 2 lets slip the win, but Black's $1 . . \mathrm{Kg} 4$ hands it back again. But now $2 \mathrm{f} 3+$ ? forfeits the win once more: 2...Kg3 3 Kfl Kh 24 Kf 2 f 5 with a drawn position. Correct is 2 Kd 3 , with for example 2...Kf5 3 Kd 4 and either 3 ...f3 4 gxf3 Kf4 5 Kd5 Kxf3 6 Ke6 Kxf2 7 Kxf6 etc or 3...Ke6 4 Ke4 Kd6 5 Kxf4 Kc6 6 Kf5 Kxb6 7 Kxf6 Kc5 8 Ke5 b5 $9 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{~b} 4 \quad 10 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{~b} 311 \mathrm{f} 6 \mathrm{~b} 212 \mathrm{f} 7 \mathrm{blQ}$ $13 \mathrm{fBQ}+\mathrm{Kc} 414 \mathrm{Qc} 8+$.

We can strengthen the defence by playing 2...f3 instead of 2 ...Kf5. Now 3 gxf3 fails against 3...Kxf3 4 Kd4 Kxf2, and if 5 Ke 4 then 5 ...Ke2 $6 \mathrm{Kf5} \mathrm{Ke} 3$ 7 Kxf6 Kd4 and White even loses. However, White can still win by 3 g 3 Kh 3 4 Kc 3 and cither $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 25 \mathrm{~g} 4$ or $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 4$ $5 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{f} 5+6 \mathrm{Ke} 3$ etc.

After I Ke2, let us try $1 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 5$ instead of $1 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 4$. Wherein lies the difference? After 2 Kd 3 f 3 g 3 (clearly 3 gxf 3 is still not good) Black can play 3...f5. This is a position of reciprocal zugzwang: Black to move loses, White to move cannot win. 4 Ke 3 is met by $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 4,4 \mathrm{Kd} 4$ by $4 \ldots \mathrm{f} 4$ 5 gxf4+ Kxf4 6 Kd5 Kf5! 7 Kd6 Ke4 8 Kc 7 Kd 39 Kxb 7 Ke 210 Kc 6 Kxf 2 11 b 7 Kg 212 b 8 Q f 2.

The move 4 Kd 4 fails to win because it is now Black's move and after 4...f4 5 gxf4 Kxf4 he gains the opposition. If however it were White's move in the position after $4 \mathrm{Kd4}$, he would win. So in the position White $\mathrm{Kd} 3, \mathrm{~Pb} 6 / \mathbf{f} 2 / \mathrm{g} 3$, Black $\mathrm{Kg} 5, \mathrm{~Pb} 7 / \mathrm{f3} / \mathrm{f5}$, White must delay playing Kd4 until Black has played ...Kh5, or has advanced ...f4 and allowed White to exchange pawns. In reply to ...Kg4, White must play Ke3. It is now clear that the White king must play to d3 in order to set up this position, and so White must not play this move prematurely.

The correct solution is therefore $\mathbf{1 ~ K d 2}$ Kg5 (1...Kg4 2 Kd 3 ) $2 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathbf{3 g} \mathbf{~ f 5}$ 4 Kd 3 ! with continuation $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 45 \mathrm{Ke} 3$ or 4 ...f4 5 gxf4+ Kxf4 $6 \mathrm{Kd4}$ or $\mathbf{4 . . . K h 5}$ 5 Kd 4 .

In the variation 4...f4 5 gxf4+ Kxf4 $6 \mathrm{Kd4} \mathrm{Kf} 5,7 \mathrm{Kd5}$ ? would fail against 7...Kf4 8 Kd6 Ke4 9 Kc7 Kd3 10 Kxb7 Ke2 11 Kc 6 Kxf 212 b 7 Kg 213 b 8 Q f 2. Correct is 7 Ke 3 Ke 58 Kxf 3 Kd 69 Kg 4 etc, but not $9 \mathrm{Ke4}$ on account of $9 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 6$ 10 f4 Kxb6 11 f5 Kc6 $12 \mathrm{Ke} 5 \mathrm{Kd} 713 \mathrm{Kf6}$ b5 etc.

1 Kc 2 would be wrong on account of 1...f3 $2 \mathrm{gxf} 3(2 \mathrm{~g} 3+\mathrm{Kh} 3$ ) Kg5 3 Kd 3 Kf 4 4 Ke2 f5.

Let us return to the main line (4...Kh5 5 Kd 4 ). After $5 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 56 \mathrm{Ke} 5$ ? Kg4 White has no winning continuation, as shown for example by 7 Kd 6 Kh 38 Kc 7 Kg 2 9 Kxb 7 Kxf 210 Kc 6 Kg 2 . The position after $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 4$ is another reciprocal zugzwang, and if it were Black's move he would lose. White therefore plays 6 Kd 5 ! Kg 4 and only now $7 \mathrm{Ke5}$, ready to meet 7...Kh3 by 8 Kf 4 Kg 29 Ke 3 . On 7...Kg5 there now follows $8 \mathbf{K d 6 ~ K g 4 ~} 9 \mathbf{K c} 7 \mathrm{Kh} 3$ 10 Kxb 7 and it seems that our work is finished.

But this is far from being the case. In order to free a square for the advance of his passed pawn, The White king has a choice of seven moves. It is remarkable that after $10 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 2$ only one of these seven moves is correct, namely 11 Ka6! Why not 11 Kc7? Because after $11 \ldots K x f 212$ b7 Kxg3 13 b8Q the Black king is not in check, and the Black pawn will be able to advance to the second rank. In the resulting ending, the pawn on fS does not help White because it controls the squares g4 and e4 which are needed by the White queen.

And why not II Kc6? Because after $11 \ldots \mathrm{Kxf} 212 \mathrm{~b} 7$ the diagonal a8-h1 will be blocked by the White king, and the promotion of the Black pawn cannot be prevented. But on a6 the king is out of the way of the new queen, and White wins by $11 \ldots \mathrm{Kxf} 2 \mathrm{l}$ b7 Kg 2 (for $12 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 2$ and $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kxg} 3$ see below) 13 b8Q f2 14 Qb7+ Kg1 (14...Kxg3 15 Qh1) 15 Qb6 Kg2 16 Qc6+ Kg1 17 Qc5 Kg2 18 Qd5+ Kg1 19 Qxf5 etc. 12...Ke2 13 b8Q f2 14 Qb5+ Kel 15 Qxf5 etc; $12 \ldots \mathrm{Kxg} 313 \mathrm{~b} 8 \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Kg} 2$ 14 Qb 7 Kg 315 Kb 5 etc, or $13 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 2$ (instead of $13 \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 2$ ) $14 \mathrm{Qh} 2+$ (14 Kb5 also wins, but $I$ do not consider this a defect because this variation is merely supporting analysis) Kel (14...Ke3 15 Qh5) 15 Qhl +Ke 216 Qh5.

After the correct move 11 Ka , White also wins against the defence $11 \ldots$ Kxf2 12 b7 Kxg3 13 b8Q Kg2 14 Qb7 Kf2:
$15 \mathrm{Kb5}$ (this time the White queen cannot reach h5, but the king arrives on the scene just in time) Ke2 16 Qc7+ KfI 17 Kc 4 .

The solution in brief: 1 Kd 2 Kg 5 2 Kc 3 f 33 g 3 f 54 Kd 3 Kh 55 Kd 4 Kg 5 6 Kd 5 Kg 47 Kc 5 Kg 58 Kd 6 Kg 49 Kc 7 Kh3 $10 \mathrm{Kxb} 7 \mathrm{Kg} 211 \mathrm{Ka6} \mathrm{Kxf} 212 \mathrm{~b} 7$ Kg 213 b 8 Q f2 $14 \mathrm{Qb} 7+\mathrm{Kg} 115 \mathrm{Qb} 6$ Kg2 16 Qc6+ Kg1 17 Qc5 Kg2 18 Qd5+ Kg 119 Qxf5 and wins.

## Corresponding squares

> *1.15 (S322) Tidskrift för Schack 1967


White to move and win
We have already spoken about corresponding squares in the analysis of study 1.3. Here we have another example. In the preceding study, from which the present study arose, we also saw some corresponding squares, but they were present in smaller numbers.

In the present diagram, the simplest pair of such squares are g 2 and e 3 . If we set the kings on these squares (we always name the square of the Black king first), we soon see that we have a position of reciprocal zugzwang; whoever is to move will lose an important pawn.

If we move the Black king to g4, giving the pair of squares 94 and e3, White to play must move his king, and after l Kd4 Kh3 2 Kd 3 Kh 23 Kd 2 Kg 1 he does not merely fail to win, he
actually loses.
Another pair of corresponding squares is given by h 5 and $\mathbf{d 2}$. Black to move las no way out; ...Kg4 is met by $\mathrm{Ke} 3, \ldots \mathrm{Kg} 5$ by Kd 3 , and ...Kg6 again by Ke 3 . In the diagram position, White therefore plays 1 Kc 2 , ready to meet $1 . . . \mathrm{Kg} 5$ by 2 Kd 3 and $1 . . . \mathrm{Kh} 5$ by 2 Kd 2 . I...Kg6 demands continuing concentration since neither 2 Kd 3 nor 2 Kd 2 comes into consideration ( $2 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 5,2 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Kh} 5$ ), but it is not difficult to find the correct continuation 2 Kc 3 . We have here a further pair of corresponding squares, g6 and $\mathbf{c} 3$. After $2 \ldots$ Kf6 there follows 3 Kd 4 .

So the solution unfolds 1 Kc 2 Kg 6 $2 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 5 \mathbf{3} \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kh} 54 \mathrm{Kd4}$ and as after White's fifth move in the preceding study. This time the solution is one move shorter.
[Mandler thought that 2...Kf6 could be met by either $3 \mathrm{Kd4}$ or 3 Kc 4 , but the computer disagrees; after $3 \mathrm{Kc4}$, Black can go for the b-pawn and hold the draw (3... Кe6 $4 \mathrm{Kd} 4 \mathrm{Kd6} 5 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Kc5} 6 \mathrm{Kf4}$ Kxb6 7 Kxf5 Kc5 8 g 4 b5 and 12 g 8 Q $\mathrm{blQ}{ }^{+}$). This defence fails after 3 Kd 4 because the White king is one tempo nearer to the Black f-pawn. So the play is actually a little more precise than Mandler thought, and we might as well spell it out: 2...Kf6 3 Kd 4 Kg 5 (3...Ke6 $4 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{etc}) 4 \mathrm{Kd} 5$ rejoining the main line.

This minor analytical point apart, I find it interesting that this later and simpler version should be the one that Mandler included in his list of favourites, even though the earlier version has a slightly longer solution and offers a wider choice at White's first move. Length and complexity may be virtues, but clarity is a greater one.]

A preliminary examination ...

1.16 (S323, RP56)<br>64 studii z oboru vĕžových a pĕscových koncovek 1965



White to move and win

This diagram was not conceived as an independent study, its purpose being solely to simplify the understanding of the next study, so the presence of an immobile Black bishop need not distress us.

White must play so that the move d5 will gain the opposition, and by this we mean the close horizontal opposition. He can gain the distant horizontal opposition straight away, but this is not good enough; after 1 d5 cxd5 2 cxd5 Kb6, both 3 Ke 7 Kc 54 d 6 Kc 6 and 3 d 6 Kc5 $4 \mathrm{Ke} 7 \mathrm{Kc6}$ leave White with an eventual loss.

In order to gain the close horizontal opposition by the move d5, White must first obtain the close horizontal "nonopposition". He cannot therefore play 1 Ke8 on account of $1 . . \mathrm{Kc} 7$ ! nor 1 Ke 7 ? on account of $1 . . . \mathrm{Kc} 8$ ! For example, 1 Ke 7 Kc 82 d 5 cxd5 3 exd5 Kc7 and again Black wins, or $2 \mathrm{Kd} 6 \mathrm{Kd8} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{Ke} 8$ 4 Kc 7 Kc 7 ctc .

Correct is 1 Kf8. Black now loses because he must move. On I...Kc8 there follows 2 Ke 7 Kc 73 d 5 cxd5 4 cxd5 and either 4...d6 5 Ke6 or $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 85 \mathrm{~d} 6$, and if Black tries 3..c5 White replies 4 Ke 8 with either 4 ... Kd6 5 Kd 8 or $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$

5 Ke 7 . Further winning lines are $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 7$ 2 Ke 8 Kc 83 Ke 7 (or 3 d 5 ) and $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 6$ 2 Ke 7 . White also gets a decisive advantage after $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 82 \mathrm{~d} 5$ cxd 53 cxd 5 , for example $3 . . \mathrm{Kb} 74 \mathrm{Ke} 8$ and either 4...Kc7 5 Kc 7 or $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 85 \mathrm{~d} 6$, and it might seem to us that this is the result of the opposition, but this is an optical illusion. What is important after I...Kb8 is that the Black king is on the eighth rank, and so cannot reply to 2 d 5 cxd 5 3 exd5 by playing to 66 . In contrast, it is immaterial whether the White king stands on $\mathrm{f8}$ or f 7 .
... and a six-fold echo
*1.17 (S324, RP57)
Práce 1949


White to move and win
The theme of the preceding study is here multiplied, and the route to the win made easier. After $1 \mathrm{Ka} 7 \mathrm{Kd6} 2 \mathrm{~Kb} 7 \mathrm{c} 6$ 3 Kb 8 ( 3 Kc 8 ? Ke7 $4 \mathrm{Kc} 7 \mathrm{Ke6}$ ) Ке6 (3...Ke7 4 Kc 8 ) 4 Kc 7 Ke 75 d 5 cxd 5 6 cxd5 we have reached a position of opposition which we know from the preceding study. After 6...d6 White wins by 7 Kc6, and after 6 ...Ke8 7 d6 we have the same position in echo.

A further pair of echoes arises in the variation 1 Ka 7 d 62 Ka 6 Kd 73 Kb 7 Kd8 4 Ka 7 Ke 7 (4...Kd7 $5 \mathrm{~Kb} 8,4 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 8$ 5 c5) $5 \mathrm{Ka8}$ (White can play his fourth and fifth moves the other way round, 4 Ka 8 Ke 75 Ka 7 ) Ке6 (5...Ке8 6 c 5,
5...Kd8 $6 \mathrm{~Kb} 7,5 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 76 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$, 5...Kf6 6 Kb7 c5 7 d5 Ke5 8 Kc6 Kd4 9 Kxd6 and either $9 \ldots$ Kxc4 10 Kc 6 or $9 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 3$ $10 \mathrm{Kxc} 5 \mathrm{etc}) 6 \mathrm{~Kb} 7 \mathrm{Kd} 77 \mathrm{c} 5 \mathrm{dxc} 58 \mathrm{dxc} 5$ and either 8...c6 $9 \mathrm{Kb6}$ or $8 . . . \mathrm{Kd8} 9 \mathrm{c} 6$. If $5 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 7$ (instead of $5 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 6$ ) there would follow 6 Kb 8 Kc 6 ( $6 . . \mathrm{Kd} 87$ c5 Kd 78 Kb 7 c6 9 Kb 6 etc) 7 Kc 8 Kb 6 8 Kd 7 ( 8 d 5 ? c6 9 Kd 7 cxd 510 cxd 5 Kc 5 11 Ke6 Kd4 12 Kxd 6 Ke 313 Kc 5 Kxf 3 14 d 6 Kg 315 d 7 f 316 d 8 Q f2 and draws) $\mathrm{Kb} 79 \mathrm{c5}$ dxc5 10 dxc 5 and either $\mathbf{1 0 . . . c 6}$ 11 Kd6 or $10 \ldots \mathrm{Kb8} 11 \mathrm{c} 6$. The echoed climactic positions are in bold type.

After $1 \mathrm{Ka} 7 \mathrm{~d} 6,2 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ fails on account of $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 63 \mathrm{Kc} 8 \mathrm{Kc} 64 \mathrm{~d} 5+\mathrm{Kb} 65 \mathrm{Kd} 7$ Kb7 6 Ke6 Kb6 7 Kxf5 Kc5 8 Kxf4 Kxc4 9 Ke 4 Kc 510 f 4 c 6 . It might seem that 2 Ka 8 would be more effective, but this also can be defeated: $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kb6} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$ (in the vertical direction, neither the opposition nor the non-opposition works) c6 4 Kc 8 Ka 55 Kc 7 Kb 46 Kxc 6 Kxc4 7 d 5 Kd 48 Kxd6 Ke3 9 Ke5 Kxf3 10 d 6 Kg 311 d 7 f 12 d 8 Q f 2 .

An interesting try after 1 Ka 7 d 6 2 Ka 6 Kd 7 is 3 Kb 5 . The Black king cannot retreat to the e-file ( $3 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 7 / \mathrm{Ke} 8$ ) on account of 4 Kc 6 Kd 85 Kb 7 Kd 76 c 5 etc. $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 8$ is met by 4 Kc 6 Kb 85 Kd 7 etc. This only leaves $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 8$, and what happens after 4 Ka5? 4...Kd7 allows White to win by $5 \mathrm{Ka} 6(5 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 86 \mathrm{~Kb} 7$, $5 \ldots \mathrm{Kc6} 6 \mathrm{Ka} 7 \mathrm{Kd} 77 \mathrm{~Kb} 8$, 5...Ke7 $6 \mathrm{Ka} 7,5 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 8 / \mathrm{Ke} 86 \mathrm{Ka} 7$ ). The correct reply to 4 Ka 5 is $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 85 \mathrm{Ka6} \mathrm{Kd7}$ (or 5...Kb8) etc.

Let us return to the position after 1 Ka 7 Kd 62 Kb 7 . If $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$, White wins by $3 \mathrm{~d} 5: 3 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 74 \mathrm{Kc} 7$, or $3 \ldots \mathrm{Ke} 54 \mathrm{Kc} 7$ Kd 45 Kxd 7 and either $5 . . . \mathrm{Kxc} 46 \mathrm{Kc} 6$ Kd4 7 d6 Ke3 8 d7 Kxf3 9 d 8 Q or 5...Ke3 6 Kc6 Kxf3 7 d6 Ke2 8 d7 f3 9 d 8 Q f $210 \mathrm{Qe} 8+$.

If Black replies to 1 Ka 7 by $1 . . \mathrm{d} 5$, there follows 2 c 5 Kb 53 Kb 7 Kc 44 Kxc 7 Kxd4 5 Kd 6 Ke 36 c 6 and White wins.

1 Kc 8 ? fails against $1 . . \mathrm{d} 6$ and either 2 Kd 8 Kb 73 d 5 (3 Ke7 Ka6!) Kb6 4 Kd 7

Kb7 5 Ke6 Kb6 6 Kxf5 Kc5 7 Kxf4 Kxc4 8 Ke 4 Kc 59 f 4 c 6 or $2 \mathrm{~Kb} 8 \mathrm{Kb6}$.
[Mandler indicates an inversion dual in the second main line ( 4 Ka 8 followed by 5 Ka 7 or the other way round) and the computer gives a few more alternatives for White at various points, but none seems important. For example, it gives 4 Kb 8 as another winning move at this point, but in fact this merely wastes time; after 4 ... Kd7 5 Ka 8 Ke 7 White has to play 6 Ka 7 and rejoin the main line, and he has taken three moves when he need have taken only two.]

By sacrificing two pawns, White gains a decisive positional advantage
1.18 (S325, version)

Tidskrift för Schack 1962, version


White to move and win
1 Ke 7 Ka 42 b 6 axb6 $3 \mathrm{Kd6} \mathrm{Ka3}$. It does not appear that White's pawn sacrifice has achieved a great deal. He has lost a pawn, the pawn on c 3 is no longer a passed pawn, and the Black king threatens the c-pawns. But after 4 Ke6 $\mathbf{K b} 25 \mathbf{c 4 ~ K c 3 ~} 6 \mathrm{Kb5}$ Black finds himself forced to move, $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kd4}$, and a second sacrifice now carries White to success: 7 c5! (7 Kb4? Ke4 8 c5 bxc5+ 9 Kxc5 Kf 410 Kd 4 Kg 311 Ke 3 Kxh 312 Kf 3 Kh2 and draws) bxc5 8 c4 Ke4 9 Kxe5 Kf4 10 Kd 4 Kg 311 c 5 (Ke3) etc.
[I have added the pawn on h5 to remove an apparent bust by 2 Kd 6 Kxb 5

3 Kd5, when White can trade his advanced c-pawn for Black's a-pawn and then play out a routine win with two pawns against one: $3 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 6$ (advancing the a-pawn helps White) 4 c 4 Kc 75 c 5 Kd7 6 c6+ Kc7 $7 \mathrm{Kc} 5 \mathrm{Kd8}$ (7...Kc8 $8 \mathrm{Kd} 6 \mathrm{Kd} 89 \mathrm{c} 7+\mathrm{Kc} 810 \mathrm{Kc} 6$ is easier for White) 8 Kd 6 Kc 89 c 3 ! ( 9 c 4 forfeits the win) Kd8 $10 \mathrm{c} 7+\mathrm{Kc} 811 \mathrm{Kc6}$ a5 12 Kb 5 Kxc 713 Kxa 5 Kc 614 Kb 4 Kb 6 15-18 Kf4 Kxc3 19-20 Kxh4 Ke5 21 Kg5 and wins. Adding a sccond Black pawn on the h-file appears to slow White down sufficiently to enable the Black king to get back to 88 .]

## The White king goes the long way round

### 1.19 (S326, RP63)

Šachové umèni 1949


White to move and win
The White king can reach the pawn on c 6 by two routes, via b4-c5 or via a5-b6. After I Kb4 Kb2 2 Kc 5 Kc 23 d 4 Kd 3 he has no winning continuation. But if it were now Black's move, there would be a way to win.

So White must deliberately lose a tempo. How can he do this? His king will go via a5. True, the journey to c6 takes just as long via a 5 as via b4, but in the try which we have just looked at the White king is not c6, it is on c5, and the journey to c 5 via a 5 is one move longer.

Hence: 1 Ka5 Kb2 $2 \mathbf{K b 6} \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathbf{3} \mathbf{d 4}$

Kd3 4 Kc5 Ke4 5 d5 cxd5 6 d4 and White wins, for example 6...Kf3 7 Kxd 5 Kg 28 Ke 4 Kxh 29 d 5 Kxh 310 d 6 Kg 2 11 d 7 h 312 d 8 Q etc.

The h-pawns prevent a dual by 5 Kc 4 .
White keeps or passes the move as required
1.20 (S327, RP64)

Lidová kultura 1949


White to move and draw
The try 1 f6 fails against l...g6! (not 1...gxf6 on account of 2 gxf6 and a counterattack by the White king via g5 and h6) 2 Ke 3 Kb 6 , with a Black win after either 3 Kd 3 Kb 5 or 3 Kd 2 c 44 Ke 3 Ka5 5 Kd 4 Kb 56 Ke 3 Ka 47 Ke 4 Ka 3 8 Ke 3 Kb 29 Kd 4 Kb 3.

How can White arrange that the move rests with either himself or Black as needed? Simply by playing 1 Kg 4 . This threatens 2 Kh 5 followed by 3 f 6 , and so forces the reply $1 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$. This is what White wanted. Now the Black pawns cannot move without allowing White to counterattack, and White has to hand a means of controlling the tempo. If he wants to remain on move, he plays fxg6, and if he wants to give the move to Black he plays f6. But this happy situation will not persist indefinitely. The White king cannot wander too far from the K-side, otherwise the pawn on g6 will be able to capture on $\mathrm{f5}$ in safety.

In the position that arises after $1 \mathbf{K g} 4$
g6 $2 \mathrm{Kf4}$ (or Kf3) Kb6 $3 \mathrm{Ke4}$ (Ke3), Black cannot play 3... $\mathrm{Kb5}$ on account of 4 fxg6 fxg6 5 Kd 3 Ka 56 Kc 2 . After 3...Ka5 there follows $4 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kb5} 5$ f6 (now White gives the move to Black) Ka5 (5...Ka4 $6 \mathrm{Kc4}$ ) $6 \mathbf{K c} 2 \mathbf{c 4} 7 \mathbf{~ K b} 2 \mathbf{K b} 6$ 8 Ka3 Kb5 (White's position has worsened) $9 \quad \mathrm{~Kb} 2 \mathrm{Kc} 510 \mathrm{Ka3} \mathrm{Kxd} 5$ 11 Kb 4 (White will gain the pawn on c4 in return for the lost pawn on d5, but his K-side pawns are weak) Kc6 (or 11...Кe6 12 Kxc4 Kf5 13 Kd5 Kxg5 14 Kxd6) 12 Kxc4 d5+ $13 \mathrm{Kd4} \mathrm{Kd6} 14 \mathrm{c4}$ and White will draw.
[The computer gives 14 Ke 3 Ke 5 15 Kd 3 as an alternative draw at the end, but it is markedly less clear and at so late a stage it can hardly be thought a defect.]

Gently does it!
1.21 (S328)

Die Schwalbe 1960


In my problem collection, there is a chapter entitled "Festina lente!" featuring problems in which a White pawn standing on the second rank is content with a single-step move whereas the solver might expect it to move two squares so as to get to grips with a distant Black king as quickly as possible. This seems to be a theme more suited to "mate in $n$ moves" problems than to studies. I have only incorporated it into one study, and that is the present one.

Let us start by trying 1 c 4 . After 1...Ka7/Kc7 2 c5 Kb8 (2...bxc5 3 bxc5 Kb8 4 c6 and White wins) White cannot take the pawn on b6 because the capture will give stalemate. Hence 3 с $6 \mathrm{Ka7}$ 4 b8Q+ (4 c7 again gives stalemate) Kxb8, and now we have a position of reciprocal zugzwang in which White would win were it Black's move.

Correct is therefore $1 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~K}-\mathbf{2}^{2} 4$ Kb8 3 c5 K-- (3...bxc5 4 bxc5 K-5 b8Q+ Kxb8 6 b6 etc) 4 b8Q+ Kxb8 5 c6 and now Black finds to his detriment that it is he who has to move.

I have put this among the pawn studies even though there is a Black bishop on the board, since this bishop plays a purely passive role.
[Few readers will have Mandler's problem collection - it was published a few months before Studie and is now just as hard to obtain - and since this is hardly a typical Mandler study, perhaps a brief background comment is in order. In 1960, Mandler wrote an article on the theme "Festina lente!" for the German problem magazine Die Schwalbe. It contained some twenty examples, all but the present one being problems with stipulation "White to play and mate in $n^{\prime \prime}$, and even the present composition is much more like a problem than a study in construction. But Mandler put it in Studie, and I have thought it appropriate to follow suit. He points out that both stalemates in the play after 1 c 4 are pure (each square surrounding the king is either blocked by a Black man or guarded by a single White man, no square is multiply guarded and none is both guarded and blocked) and that composers of the "Bohemian" school to which he belonged attach just as much importance to pure stalemates as they do to similarly refined mates.

It might be added that the computer has greatly assisted the finding of "festina lente" studies, and if Mandler were writing today I doubt if he would still
describe as a theme more suited to problems. A definitive computer analysis of endings with given material automatically produccs a list of positions of reciprocal zugzwang, and whenever a position with a pawn on the third rank is reciprocal zugzwang there is a chance that the only good move with the pawn on the second rank will be "pawn one". Some composers have viewed the advent of computers with very mixed feelings; Mandler, I think, would have revelled in the possibilities they have opened up.]

> An echo both of a stalemate and of its accompanying play
1.22 (S329, RP52)

Národní Oswobzení 1936


White to move and draw
The pawn on a 5 cannot be protected. Its salvation will be a K -side counterattack.

But White must not be too hasty. After 1 Kh 3 ? Kxe6 he is suddenly lost for a move. On 2 Kg 4 there will follow 2...Kf6 3 Kh4 Kf5 4 Kh3 g5 5 Kg 2 g 4 and the counterattack is at an end. 2 Kh 4 will be met by $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kf} 5$, and 2 g 4 by $2 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$.

Correct is 1 Kh 2 ! Kxe6 2 Kh 3 and now it is Black who has to find a move. 2...Kf5 fails against $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{K h 4} \mathrm{g} 5+4 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{~g} 4$ 5 Kh4 g6 stalemate. If instead 5...g5+ then 6 Kh 5 Kf 67 Kh 6 ( 7 Kxg 4 ? Kg 6 ) and White even wins, if $5 \ldots$ Kf6 then 6 Kh 5 ( 6 Kxg 4 ? g6!) and again ...g5 allows White to win. If Black plays
4...Kf6, there follows $5 \mathrm{g4}$ and 5...g6+ 6 Kh 6 Kf 77 Kh 7 will be another White win, but not $7 \mathrm{Kxg} 5 \mathrm{Kg} 78 \mathrm{Kh} 4 \mathrm{Kf6}$ 9 Kg 3 g 5 and Black wins.

If instead of $3 \ldots g 5+$ Black plays 3...Kf6, White must avoid 4 g 4 ? on account of 4 ...Ke6 5 Kg 5 Kf 76 Kh 4 Kf 6 7 Kg 3 g 5 with a Black win, but he can save himself by contriving a stalemate one rank higher than in the previous variation: $4 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{~g} 55 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Kf} 56 \mathrm{~g} 4+$ Kf6 stalemate. If instead 5...g4 White must avoid 6 Kxg 4 on account of 6 ...g 6 $7 \mathrm{Kh} 4 \mathrm{Kf5} 8 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{~g} 59 \mathrm{Kg} 2 \mathrm{~g} 4 \mathrm{etc}$, but he has 6 Kh 4 ! g5 +7 Kh 5 .

The same stalemate occurs after 1 Kh 2 Kxe6 $2 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{Kf6} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 4 \mathrm{~g} 54 \mathrm{Kh} 5 \mathrm{Kf5}$ $5 \mathrm{~g} 4+\mathrm{Kf6}$.

In addition to the echo of the stalemate itself, we have an echo in the course of the associated play.

> Sometimes the solver must master some of the tasks which confronted the composer

*1.23 (S330, RP51)
Národni Osvobozeni 1936 Correction Šachové uměni 1947


White to move and draw
This study bears the traces of its origin. The difficulties with which a composer struggles while attempting to realize his theme are often reflected in the resulting position, sometimes in its appearance. sometimes in its content, often
unattractively, rarely congenially; and sometimes the solver must himself overcome some of the difficulties which confronted the composer.

The theme of the present study is again the echo both of a stalemate position and of the way it is brought about. But the road to this echo is hedged around with obstacles.

Black's hopes of victory lie in his passed pawn. This pawn must be stopped if White is to draw, and so only 1 Ke 4 suggests itself as a key. But I Ke4 loses.

The solver must realise from the start that his only means of salvation will be stalemate, and hence that he must create the possibility of immobilizing the White pawns. Hence he plays 1 d6. After 1...cxd6+ $2 \mathrm{Ke} 4 \mathrm{Ke}^{7}$ therc follows 3 f 5 (to prevent the threatening ...f5) $\mathbf{6 4} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{~ K 4}$ fxg5+ 5 Kxg4 Kf6 $6 \mathbf{c 5}$, and after $6 . . \mathrm{d} 57 \mathrm{Kh} 5$ Kxf5 White is stalemated. If instead $6 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 5$, White replies 7 dxc 5 g6 8 fxg6 Kxg6 9 d4 Kf6 10 d 5 cxd 511 c 6 with a draw.

The same stalemate, one rank lower, arises after 2..g6 3 Ke3 Ke7 4 Kf2 55 (4... Кe6 5 Kg 3 Kf5 6 c 5 dxc 57 dxc 5 Ke6 8 Kxg4 Kd5 $9 \mathrm{Kf3} \mathrm{Kd} 410 \mathrm{Ke} 2 \mathrm{Kxc} 5$ $11 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Kd5} 12 \mathrm{~d} 4$ and draws) 5 gxf6 e.p.+ Kxf6 $6 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Kf5} 7$ c5 d5 (7...dxc5 8 dxc5 g5 9 fxg 5 Kxg $510 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{Kf5} 11 \mathrm{~d} 5$ cxd5 12 cb ) 8 Kh 4 Kxf 4 . White's 6th and 7 th moves can be interchanged.

In the first variation, after 1 d 6 cxd 6 2 Ke 4 Ke 73 f 5 f 6 , the move 4 g 6 must not lead to a draw. This was one of the chief obstacles in the course of the construction, and it is a difficult task for the solver to recognize that this is only a try and to find its refutation. It actually fails against 4 ...d5 $+5 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{c} 5$ ! and either 6 Kxg 4 cxd 47 Kf 3 Kd 68 cxd 4 Kxd 5 $9 \mathrm{Kf4} 4 \mathrm{Kc} 6!10 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 5$ or 6 dxc 5 dxc 4 7 dxc4 (at first sight, this position does not look like a Black win) Kd7 8 Kxg 4 Kc6 followed by 9...Kxc5.

In the second variation (2...g6 3 Ke 3 Ke7 4 Kf2 f5) White must capture the
pawn on f5, otherwise Black, having guarded his passed pawn, will penetrate with his king via a 5 and b4.
2...f5+ 3 gxf6 g6 4 Ke 3 (c5) leads into now familiar territory.

1 Ke 4 ? is met by $1 . . . \mathrm{cxd} 5+2 \mathrm{Ke} 3 \mathrm{Ke} 7$ $3 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{f6} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6 \mathrm{c} 5$ ! with a Black win.

Haven't we seen this before?
1.24 (\$331)

Original to Studie 1970


White to move and win
This position occurs after the moves 1 d 6 cxd6 2 Ke 4 Ke 73 f5 f6 4 g 6 in the preceding study. I have inverted the colours, turned the board through 180 degrees, and changed the stipulation to "White to move and win". But why should I do this? Turning the board round and inverting the colours appears to change nothing. Yet there is a difference between merely refuting a try and analysing the same position as if it were a self-standing study. For a position to be entitled to exist as a study in its own right, not only must it be difficult to solve, it must also be correct, its main line must be free from cooks and duals. However, only in the main line do we need to examine and refute alternative lines of attack; in the case of sidelines, usually (there are exceptions) we take no notice.

It would of course be a different matter if a fragment of an existing study
were to be sent to a tourney as a ncw and independent creation, or if it were to have been taken from somebody else's work. But such considerations are not relevant here.

1 c 4 ? does not succeed. But not because of $1 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$. This is met by 2 dxc 4 and either 2 ...d5 3 cxd5 Kxd5 4 Kd 3 Ke 5 $5 \mathrm{Kc4}$ and White wins, or $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kf5} 3 \mathrm{Kd} 3$ Kxg5 4 Kc4 etc. Black defeats I c4 by playing 1...d4: $2 \mathrm{Kd} 2 \mathrm{Kf5} 3 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Kxg} 5$ and now it is White who is fighting to hold the draw.

Correct is $\mathbf{1 d 4 +}$. But what of the defence $1 . ., \mathrm{cxd} 4$ ? The tempting 2 cxd4 + leads only to a draw: $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kf5} 3 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{Kxg} 5$ 4 Kc 3 Kg 6 and Black will draw by gaining the distant horizontal opposition. Correct is 2 Kd 3 dxc 33 Kxc 3 KfS 4 Kd 4 and White wins. Black still has two pawns on the d-file, but the White king has plenty of time to deal with them. Even more improbable is White's win in the main line, when Black is left with two pawns on the c-file: $\mathbf{1}$...Kf5 2 c4. If now 2...Kxg5, the reply 3 dxc5 would be a mistake on account of 3 ...dxc5 4 cxd5 Kf5 5 Kd 3 Ke 5 (if 6 Kc 4 then $6 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 6$ and Black wins). A winning line after $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kxg} 5$ is 3 cxd5 $\mathrm{Kf6} 4 \mathrm{Kd} 3 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ (4..Ke7 5 Kc4) 5 Kxd4 Kf5 6 Kc3 Kf6 7 Kb4 Ke5 8 Kc4 Kf6 9 Kb5 Kf5 10 Kb6 Kf6 11 Kc 7 Ke 512 Kc 6.

The most hopeful continuation for Black appears to be 2 ...dxe4 3 dxc5 dxe5. In fact White's win is now straightforward, even though at first sight it scemed most unlikely: 4 Kd2 Kxg5 5 Kc3 Kf5 6 Kxc4 Ke6 7 Kxc5 Ke5 8 Kc4 and so on.

## An ending with almost a full complement of pawns

1.25 (S332)

Lidová kultura 1946


White to move and win
Here there are five pairs of corresponding squares: $\mathbf{f 5} / \mathrm{d4}$, e5/d3, e6/c3, f5/d2, and e6/e2. The Black square is listed first in each case.

Solution: 1 Kel Ke6 (1...Kd7 2 Kd2) 2 Ke2 Kf5 3 Kd2 Ke6 4 Kc 3 Ke5 5 Kd3 Kf5 6 Kd 4 and so on.

## A novelty with theoretical value

*1.26 (S333, RP49)
Prager Presse 1929


White to move and win
This position makes a contribution to endgame theory. The simpler a position, the greater the probability that it is already known to theoreticians. Study
composers, as distinct from analysts, do not usually set out to extend the boundaries of theoretical knowledge, but rather to find interesting positions and beautiful manoeuvres. But it sometimes happens, usually unintentionally, that such a composition also turns out to enrich endgame theory.

In a position with the pawns arranged as shown here, White will normally win only if he can manoeuvre his king to one of the squares e8, e7, amd e6. Fr. Dedrle seems to have been the first to have established the significance of these squares. But in the present position, it does not appear possible for his king to get there. In fact the breakthtrough manoeuvre is possible only because the pawns are on the fourth and sixth ranks; if they were any lower down on the board, Black could defend all the weak points.

The White king cannot advance to the sixth rank without allowing Black to take the opposition. Conversely, Black cannot allow White to gain the opposition on the sixth or eighth rank, because this will allow him to reach one of the critical squares; for example, $1 \mathrm{Klh6} \mathrm{Kc} 6$ ? 2 Kg 6 Kd6 3 Kf6 Kd7 4 Kf7 Kd6 5 Ke8 and wins, or $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 73 \mathrm{Kg} 7$ and either $3 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 8$ 4 Kf6 Kd7 5 Kf7 or 3 ... Kc6 $4 \mathrm{Kf8}$ etc.

The Black king is well placed on b7. White wins only by luring him to the eighth rank: 1 Kh6 Kb6 $2 \mathbf{K h} 7 \mathbf{K b} 7$ 3 Kh8 Kh8 4 d5 exd5 5 f5 etc. Bad would be 1 Kg 6 ? Kc 62 Kg 7 Kc 73 Kg 8 Kc 84 d 5 on account of $4 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 7$ with a draw.

White saws away at the Black position
*1.27 (S334, RP50)
La Stratégie 1936


White to move and win
Taking the opposition on the f-file by 1 Kf 2 docs not help White. The Black king stays on the file, and Black need not fear the White king's advance to f4: 1...Kf7 $2 \mathrm{Kf} 3 \mathrm{Kf6} 3 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{~g} 5+$ and Black draws. And if the White king leaves the file, Black can take the opposition, thus (1 Kf2 Kf7) 2 Ke 3 Kc 73 Ke 4 Ke 6 and either 4 Kd 4 Kd 6 or $4 \mathrm{Kf4} \mathrm{Kf6}$.

The solution is 1 Kd2! Ke6 2 Ke2 Kf6 3 Kd3 Ke5 4 Ke3 Kf6 5 Kd4 Ке6 6 Ke4 Kf6 7 Kd5 Ke7 8 Ke5 Kf7 9 Kd6 and White wins. On $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kd} 6$ there follows 3 Kf3.

The White king's path resembles the teeth of a saw.

Freeing a crucial square for the king
1.28 (S335)

La Stratégie 1936


White to move and win
1 g 3 ? g 5 ! ; 1 g 4 ? g5!; $1 \mathrm{h4}$ ! Kg 62 Kg 3 Kh5 $3 \mathrm{Kh} 3 \mathrm{~g} 64 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 55 \mathrm{~g} 4+$ and 6 h 5. Why not 1 Kg 3 ? Because it would allow the Black king to come to $g 5$ ? Not at all, after $1 \mathrm{Kg} 3 \mathrm{Kg} 52 \mathrm{~h} 4+$ White will win in the same way as in the solution. Nor do we play 1 h4 in order to keep the Black pawn from g 5 , because 1 Kg 3 g 5 also leads to a White win: 2 Kg 4 Kg 63 b 4 etc .

The true purpose of 1 h 4 is to free the square 133 for the White king. The try 1 Kg 3 is defeated by $1 . . . \mathrm{Kh} 52 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{~g} 5$.

After 1 h 4 Kg 62 Kg 3 Kh 53 Kh 3 g 6 White wins because he has at his disposal the waiting move g3. $4 \mathrm{~g} 4+$ would lead to a similar drawn position to that which originates after 1 g 3 g 5 , but one rank bigher.

