## 4. Rook against knight and pawn on a2/a3

(from an article in Československý šach 1932, dedicated to master Oldřich Duras)
[The whole of this section of Studie has now been overtaken by the computer, but I think it should remain; there is considerable interest in seeing how such analyses were done before computers were available, and it provides an excellent set of puzzles for use as competition pieces or training exercises.]

In this essay, I present some studies with rook against knight and pawn which form pairs of twins or short sequences. The chapter is divided into two sections according as the the pawn is on the second or the third rank. In the essay referred to above, I gave first the studies, then the auxiliary diagrams, and finally the solutions. Here I have departed from this, giving first the auxiliary diagrams and then the studies.

## A. Pawn on 22

In this ending, Black's defence will consist in forcing stalemate (we always assume White to have the rook). If, with the Black king on al and the White on c1, the Black knight can play to c2 without allowing a capture giving mate by discovery, the draw is assured.
4.1 (S433)


White cannot win (either side to move, wR on any square); same result with bN on a3

In position 4.1, the White rook can be on any square, and either side may be to move. The star indicates that the same result occurs if the Black knight is on a3.

It is easy to see that this position is drawn. If the White rook plays to the first rank, the Black knight interposes on bl or dl , and it then returns to a 3 or e 3 next move. If the White rook is anywhere else, the Black knight plays to c2 and so prevents the White king from moving to this square. White can complicate matters by putting his rook on the b-file and trying to bring his king to c 3 via d2, but even in this case the result is the same.
4.2 (S434)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + "; same result with bN on el

The square d 4 plays an even more important role than a3 and e3. In diagram 4.2, the White rook must be on dl , fl , or hl is White is to win. If the
rook is not on the first rank, Black will have an immediate draw by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 2$, and even on the first rank the squares el and gl are not good enough: 1...Nf3 2 Rfl (2 Rd1 Nel, 2 Rh1 Ng1) Nd2 3 Rd1 Nb 1 and $4 . . . \mathrm{Na} 3$ will give diagram 4.1. The same happens with the knight on el.
4.3 (S435)


White to move wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked "+"

The solver will soon convince himself that White can win in position 4.3 only if his rook is on one of the two marked squares. The rook must guard both e2 and d4, the former to prevent 1 Kc 3 from being met by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 2+$, and the latter to prevent the knight from returning to d 4 after $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 5+2 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$. The square e 5 is not good enough, because after 1 Kc 3 $\mathrm{Nb} 5+$ the capture of the knight will give stalemate.
4.4 (S436)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + "

In diagram 4.4, Black is able to play $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 4$ and $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 1$, and we know from diagram 4.2 that White must be able to reply by playing to $\mathrm{d} 1, \mathrm{fl}$, or h 1 . But the rook cannot already stand on one of these squares (we have seen in the analysis of 4.2 that Rdl is met by ...Ne1, Rfl by ...Nd2, and Rh1 by ...Ng1), nor can it stand on d5-d8 (Black draws by ...Nd4) nor on f5-f8 (Black plays 1...Nd2 threatening $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 3+$ and $3 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 4+$, and after 2 Kc 2 Nf 3 the rook cannot reach d2 or e4 as required by diagram 4.3.

The squares f4, d3, and f2 have a particular significance, in that if the rook is on one of them we have a position of reciprocal zugzwang: Black to move loses, but White to move cannot force a win.
4.5 (S437)


White to move wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + "

Diagram 4.5 demonstrates that the White rook is badly placed on the $d$ or $f$ file. White wins only if his rook is on one of the squares marked " + ". With the rook on d 4 , neither 1 Kb 3 nor 1 Kc 3 suffices to win, because there follows 1 ...Kb1 2 Rd 3 and either 2...alQ or 2...alN+.
[For once, I found myself in need of a little further explanation. 1 Kcl concedes the draw at once (see 4.1), so White must play 1 Kb 3 or 1 Kc 3 . Black naturally replies $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kbl}$, and White must be able to respond either by capturing the knight or by a first-rank check.]
4.6 (S438)


White to move wins unless bN is on a square marked " $x$ "
unless the Black knight is on one of the squares marked with a cross. We have already met the case d 4 in diagram 4.3 , where square b2 is not marked with a plus sign, and in fact the draw is immediate ( $1 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 5+$, $1 \mathrm{Kcl} \mathrm{Nb} 3+$ ). If Black merely threatens to check on d 4 , for example if the knight is on f 3 , White wins by 1 Kc 3 Nd 42 Rd 2 , but of course this option is not available if the knight is on b5 or e2.

Black will also draw if the knight is on c4, d3, or d1. However, a4 is not good enough, because Black will need three moves to give check and in the meantime the White rook can transfer itself to the h -file and threaten mate, for example $1 \mathrm{Rb} 8 \mathrm{Nb} 22 \mathrm{Rh} 8 \mathrm{Nd} 13 \mathrm{Re} 8 \mathrm{Ne} 3+4$ Kb 3 etc.
[Mandler's diagram omits b3. He treats this square the same as f , pointing out that 1 Kc 3 Nd 42 Rd 2 wins (which it does) but overlooking the drawing move 1 ..Nc1. Now 2 Rd2 can be met by 2...Kbl without allowing a bottom rank mate, and White must return to b2 (or play Rxa2) if he is not actually to lose.]

This is perhaps enough for us to solve the studies in diagrams 4.7-4.10. The solutions will be found on page 93.
4.7 (S439-40)


White to move and win
(a) as set, (b) wR on e4

In Diagram 4.6, White to move wins
4.8 (S441-2)


White to move and win (a) as set, (b) wR on g7
4.9 (S443-5)


White to move and win (a) as set, (b-c) bN on e7/f2
4.10 (S446-9)


White to move and win (a) as set, (b-d) wR on b3/b4/b5

## B. Pawn on a3

Endings in which the Black pawn is on a3 are rather more difficult, because they sometimes come down to $\mathrm{R} \vee \mathrm{N}$ with no pawn and the solver must know the theory of this ending at least in its essentials. We also need to look at the $R \vee P$ endings which may arise after a sacrifice of the knight, and the first two of our preliminary diagrams will address these.
4.11 (S450)


R v P: White to move cannot win, wherever the rook may be

This position is always drawn. If for example $1 \mathrm{Rg} 2+$ then $1 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 3$, and after 2 Rg 8 then $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 2!3 \mathrm{Rb} 8+\mathrm{Kc} 1$ with a draw.
4.12 (S451)


R v P: Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + "

If the rook is on b4, Black plays $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kc} 3$ 2 Ra 4 Kb 2 (not $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ ?) and White has no winning continuation. If the rook is on b5 or any higher square, White wins easily (1...Kc3 2 Kcl a 23 Ra 5 Kb 34 Ra 6 etc).
4.13 (S452)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on the square marked "+"

This is one of the most important positions. White wins only if his rook is on b4. On 1...Kal there now follows $2 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 33 \mathrm{Rd} 4 \mathrm{Nc} 1+$ (3...Nc5+ $4 \mathrm{Kxa} 3) 4 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 25 \mathrm{Rd} 2$ and wins.

If the rook is on d4, Black draws by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 1$, because the White king cannot take the knight on account of $2 \ldots \mathrm{~Kb} 2$ (see 4.12) and $2 \operatorname{Re} 4$ is met by $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nf} 2$.
4.14 (S453)


White to move wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + "

This diagram illustrates one of the consequences of diagram 4.12. Unless the White rook is already on the b-file, Black will answer White's 1 Kxdl by 1 ...Kb2, and White must be able to reply by a check on b5 or above. A check on b4 is not sufficient.
4.15 (S454)


White to move wins only if
$w R$ is on a square marked " + "
If we compare this position with that of diagram 4.5 , where the pawn is on $\mathbf{a} 2$, we see that it is more favourable for White, who can win not only when the rook is one of the squares previously marked but also on b3 and on most of the d-file (apart from d5 and the impossible d1). For example, rook on $\mathrm{d} 4: 1 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Kbl}$ 2 Rd3 a2 3 Rxe3 etc. But the rook is badly placed on the f-file (apart from on f3, whence it can capture the kinght), because 1 Kb 3 Kbl 2 Rf 3 can be met by 2...Nd1.
4.16 (S455)


Black to move, White wins only if
$w R$ is on a square marked "+"
White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

Two moves come into particular consideration for Black: $1 . . . \mathrm{Ne} 3+$ and $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 2$. The first leads to the preceding diagram, the second to diagram 4.14. White can hope to win only if the rook stands on a square which is marked "+" in both these diagrams. But if we compare the three diagrams, we see that the present diagram has no " + " on h5 and h6. This is because Black has another move, 1 ...Nf2, which holds the draw if the rook is on one of these two squares. After 1...Nf2 2 Rh2 Ng4 3 Rh4 Nf2 4 Rf4 Nd1 White has no good continuation. The king cannot take the knight (see 4.12), while rook moves to d4, e4, h4, f1, or f3 allow 5...Ka2 (see 4.14) and other moves are met by 5...Ne3+ (see 4.15).

After $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nf} 2$, if the rook is on $h 6$, the try 2 Rf6 is met by $2 \ldots \mathrm{Ng} 4$ ( $3 \mathrm{Rf} 4 \mathrm{Ne} 3+$, or $3 \mathrm{Rg} 6 \mathrm{Ne} 54 \mathrm{Rg} 5 / \mathrm{Re} 6 \mathrm{Nf} 3$ ).

If the rook is on h5 and White tries 2 Rf5, Black draws by $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 3$, because the king cannot capture (see 4.11), the rook cannot attack the knight (3 Rd5 $\mathrm{Nb} 4+, 3 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 1+$ ), and any other rook move is met by $3 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 2$.

If the rook is on h7 or h8, White meets 1 ...Nf2 by 2 Rf7 (Rf8).
[The computer pedantically adds a
cross on b2, but this square is of no practical importance (a rook here would be attacked by two Black men, so why didn't Black use one of them to capture it last move?) and Mandler obviously thought it irrelevant. The same is true of some later diagrams.]
4.17 (S456)


Black to move, White wins only if wR is on a square marked "+" White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

The signs on the f-file require little explanation. We have just seen that White wins against a knight on f 2 by playing his rook to f 7 or f 8 , and on f 3 the rook threatens immediate mate. The reader can likewise easily convince himself that White wins if the rook is on d 2 , e2, or g 2 . We saw in the analysis of the last diagram that h 2 was a bad square (in the line $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nf} 22 \mathrm{Rh} 2 \mathrm{Ng} 4 \mathrm{etc}$ ).
[The computer adds a trivial " + " on fl, again doubtless omitted by Mandler on the grounds that it is of no practical importance.]
4.18 (S457)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + " White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

Black threatens $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 2$, and we know from diagram 4.13 that White must be able to meet this by playing to $b 4$. The square f 4 is insufficient on account of 1 ...Ndl (see 4.16). If the rook is on b5, Black escapes by playing $1 . . \mathrm{Nd} 3$ (see 4.24 later), and if it is on b6 or b7 Black has $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 4$ (see 4.21, likewise later).
[Mandler presumably regarded the crosses as self-explanatory. There are none on the f-file because White to move would play 1 Kb 3 with a quick mate.]
4.19 (S458)


Black to move can always draw White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

There are no "+" signs on this diagram
because Black to move can draw irrespective of the position of the rook.

The crosses on b 7 , d 6 , and d 2 deserve particular attention. If the rook is on $b 7$, Black meets 1 Kb 3 by 1 ...Nd3 (2 Rd7 $\mathrm{Nc} 5+$ ) and 1 Kc 2 by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 4$ (see 4.21 below). If it is on d 6 or d 2 , the line 1 Kb 3 Kb 12 Kxa 3 is defeated by 2 ... $\mathrm{Nc} 4+$.
4.20 (S459)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked " + "

The plus sign on dl is only for completeness. After 1..Ka2, White of course takes with the rook and not the king. Otherwise we have a position reminding us of diagram 4.3 after 1 Kc 3 , and the logic is the same: the rook must cover both e 2 and d 4 , so as to prevent an immediate $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 2$ and also a return to d 4 after $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 5+2 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$. However, there is a difference. In diagram 4.3, both e4 and d 2 were suitable squares for the rook. With the pawn on a3, only e4 works. If the rook is on d2, Black can play $1 . .$. Ne6 without allowing immediate mate, and he will be able to meet 2 Kb 3 with 2...Nc5+.
[This is the first serious error in Mandler's analysis, and I have had to alter his text. He puts a plus sign on d2 as well, overlooking 1...Ne6.]

### 4.21 (S460)



Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked "+"

White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

We have referred to this diagram in the analysis of positions 4.18 and 4.19. The square f 4 is not marked with a plus sign on account of $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 3+(\sec 4.15)$ and e4 on account of 1 ...Nd6 2 Rd4 Nf5 3 Rf4 $\mathrm{Ne} 3+$.

Now to the crosses. If the rook is on b8, White wins by 1 Kb 3 . This fails with the rook on b 7 ( $1 \ldots \mathrm{Na}+$ ). The draw with the rook on b6 follows from diagram 4.15, since if the rook attacks the knight by 1 Rb4 or 1 Rc6 Black will reply 1...Ne3+.

With wRg8, White plays 1 Kc 3 Na 5 $2 \mathrm{~Kb} 4 \mathrm{~Kb} 23 \mathrm{Rg} 2+\mathrm{Kb} 14 \mathrm{Kxa} 3$ and wins with $\mathrm{R} v \mathrm{~N}$, but with wRf8 the corresponding line is only drawn (play continues 4 ... Nc4+ 5 Kb 3 Ne 3 and the mating square is covered). We also have $1 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 2+2 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Ne} 4+/ \mathrm{Kbl}$, and 1 Rf4 Ne3+ (see 4.15).

With wRf7, $1 \mathrm{Kc3}$ is no longer defeated by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Na} 5$ ( 2 Rd 7 wins), but 1...Ne3 $2 \mathrm{Rf} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 1+3 \mathrm{Kc} 2$ leads to diagram 4.16. With wRg6, 1 Kc 3 is met by $1 . . . \mathrm{Ne} 5$.
[This position is more difficult than Mandler thought. He omits the crosses on $f 8$ and g6, and less seriously those on the a-file and e5 and the plus on c3, and though I have tried to alter his text to
highlight the essentials I do not claim to have provided a full treatment.]
4.22 (S461)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked "+"

If the rook is on the d-file and not on a marked square, Black will draw by playing $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nc} 5$, since if White then attacks the knight Black will play 2...Kbl and the rook will be unable to take it.
[Mandler omits the plus signs on a5, b5, and f5, where White wins even though the knight is not under immediate attack, and also that on fl . With the rook on g5 or h5, Black draws by playing $1 \ldots \mathrm{Kbl}$ and if 2 Kb 3 then $2 \ldots \mathrm{Kcl}$, but if it is on f5 White can continue 3 Kxa 3 and then round up the knight. With the the rook on a5, b5, or $\mathrm{f} 1,1 \ldots \mathrm{Kbl}$ is either illegal or useless, and if Black plays $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ka} 2$ White can continue 2 Rf5 with a difficult win.]
4.23 (S462)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked "+"

This position is won for White only if the rook is on d 2 or b6. If it is on say g2 instead of d2, Black draws by l...Kbl $2 \mathrm{Rg} 5 \mathrm{Nc} 63 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 4+$. This check is not available if the rook is on the d-file.

The square b6 is likewise good for White. Black must play $1 . . \mathrm{Kal}$, and there follows 2 Rd6 Kb1 3 Rd5 Nc6/Nb7 4 Kb 3 etc.

However, if the rook is on b6 with White to play, he must abandon his favourable position and there is no win.
4.24 (S463)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked "+" White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

With Black to move, 1 ...Nc5 does not help, because in contrast to diagram 4.22
the White king is on c2.
With White to move and the rook on $\mathrm{b} 5,1 \mathrm{Rb} 3$ is met by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nel+}$. If it is on c5, 1 Rc3 fails against 1 ... Nb4+.
4.25 (S464)


Black to move, White wins only if $w R$ is on a square marked "+"
White to move wins unless $w R$ is on a square marked " $x$ "

If the rook is giving check from e1, the solution is easy: $1 . . . \mathrm{Ka} 2 \mathrm{Re} 2+\mathrm{Kb} 1$ 3 Kb 3 . The play is similar if the rook is on gl, but the solver must be aware that he cannot win without allowing Black to promote to a second knight: 1...Ka2 $2 \mathrm{Rg} 2+\mathrm{Kbl} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{a} 24 \mathrm{Rg} 1 \mathrm{alN}+$ 5 Kc 3 etc.

If the rook is on f 3 , White wins by 1...Nd2 2 Re3 Nfl 3 Rel + and as above, or 1 ...Nh2 2 Rg 3 Nf1 3 Rgl etc.

If the rook is giving check from d1, the procedure is $1 . . \mathrm{Ka} 22 \mathrm{Rd} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 13 \mathrm{Rf} 3$ etc. The win with the rook on h1 is analogous: $1 . . . \mathrm{Ka} 22 \mathrm{Rh} 3 \mathrm{~Kb} 13 \mathrm{Rf} 3$.

This preparation will simplify the analysis of the following diagrams. The solutions are on pages 93-4.
4.26 (S465-7)


White to move and win (a) as set, (b-c) wR on g5/h5
4.27 (S471-2)


White to move and win
(a) as set, (b) wR on f 4
4.28 (S497-8)


White to move and win
(a) as set, (b) wR on d7
4.29 (S477-8, version)


Black to move and draw
(a) as set, (b) wR on h6
4.30 (S479-82)


Black to move and draw
(a) as set, (b-d) wR on b5/b7/g5
4.31 (S483-5)


Black to move and draw
(a) as set, (b-c) wR on f6/h6
4.32 (S486-8)


Black to move and draw
(a) as set, (b-c) wR on g2/f5
4.33 (S489-90)


Black to move and draw
(a) as set, (b) wR on c8
4.34 (S491-2)


Black to move and draw (a) as set, (b) wR on h2
4.35 (S493-4)


Black to move and draw
(a) as set, (b) wR on dl
4.36 (S495-6)


Black to move and draw (a) as set, (b) wR on d2
4.37 (S499-500)


Black to move and draw (a) as set, (b) wR on e6
4.7. Only two moves come into consideration: 1 Kc 2 and 1 Kcl . Correct in (a) is 1 Kc 2 Nf 32 Re 4 (Black is going to play $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 4+$, so White must play to one of the squares marked " + " in 4.3) $\mathrm{Nd} 4+3 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Nb5}+4 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ and wins. If instead Black plays $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 3$, there are several ways to win, for example $2 \operatorname{Re} 4$ $\mathrm{Nb} 4+3 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 34 \mathrm{Rd} 4$.

1 Kcl ? is not defeated by $1 . . \mathrm{Nf} 3$ ? hoping for $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 4$ reaching 4.2 , because White has 2 Rd 3 winning (see 4.4). Instead, Black must play 1...Nc4/Ng4 $2 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Ne} 3$, giving 4.1.

In (b), 1 Kc 2 ? fails to $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nf} 3$ (see 4.3). As we have seen, this position (wKc2, wRe4, bNf3) is a position of reciprocal zugzwang: Black to move would lose, but White to move must weaken his position. Correct is $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{K c l}$ Nf3 2 Kc 2 and it is Black to move, or 1 ...Nc4 $2 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 3+3 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$.
4.8. In (a), $1 \operatorname{Re} 2$ Nf3 2 Rf2 (see 4.4), or $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 3+2 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Nb} 4+3 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ Nd3 4 Rd2. We know from part (b) of the preceding study that 1 Kc 2 does not work and from part (a) that $1 \operatorname{Re} 3$ is met by 1 ...Nc4, while 4.5 helps to show that 1 Rd4 is not correct: Black will continue 1...Nc4 (threat 2...Na3, 4.1) 2 Kc 2 $\mathrm{Ne} 3+$ etc.

In (b), $1 \operatorname{Re} 7 \mathrm{Nd} 3+/ \mathrm{Nc} 42 \mathrm{Kc} 2 ;$ 1 Rg1? Nf3! (see 4.4). 1 Kc 2 ? Nf3! and the rook cannot reach e4 or d2 (see 4.3), while on 2 Kcl Black will play $2 . . \mathrm{Nel}$ or $2 . . \mathrm{Nd} 4$ (see 4.2).
[I have presented the four studies of 4.7 and 4.8 as two pairs to make the diagramming easier. Mandler, who gives each study a separate diagram, presents them as a set of four, which emphasizies the link between 4.7 (b) and 4.8 (a).]
4.9. In (a), Black threatens $1 . . \mathrm{Nb} 5$ and $2 \ldots \mathrm{Na} 3$, which will draw according to diagram 4.1. To avoid this draw, White must play Kc2 at his first or second move. But 1 Kc 2 Nb 5 gives
diagram 4.6, and again White cannot win. So White must play Kc2 at move 2, and Black will be able to reply by giving check on d4. So White must put his rook on one of the squares shown in diagram 4.3, and e4 is not within range; so the solution is 1 Rd2 Nb5 $2 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 4+$ 3 Kc3 etc.

In (b), 1 Rd2 fails against 1 ...Nf5 $2 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Ne} 3+$ (see 4.5), and 1 Rh 2 against 1...Nf5 $2 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 4+$ (see 4.3). However, White now has 1 Kc 2 , since the knight cannot reach any of the squares marked in diagram 4.6.

In (c), 1 Kc 2 is met by $1 \ldots \mathrm{Nd} 1 / \mathrm{Nd} 3$ (see 4.6), while $1 \mathrm{Rd} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 1 / \mathrm{Ng} 42 \mathrm{Kc} 2$ $\mathrm{Ne} 3+$ puts us into diagram 4.5. Correct is $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{R e} \mathbf{2}$.
4.10. These four studies can be solved very easily by considering diagram 4.4, because in each case only one of the marked squares can be reached. In (a), therefore, 1 Rf2; in (b), $\mathbf{1}$ Rd3; in (c), 1 Rf4. In (d), 1 Rh5 Nh2 2 Kc2 Nf1 3 Kb3 Nd2+ (3...Kbl 4 Rh1) 4 Kc3 Ne4+ 5 Kc2 etc; not 1 Rf5? Nd2 2 Kc2 Nf3 3 Rf4 Nd4+ and draws.
[Again, Mandler presents the seven studies of 4.9 and 4.10 as a single set. The four studies of $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ would be a very interesting group to set for solution without Mandler's preliminary analysis; I wonder how many players, even of master strength, would get them all right first time.]
4.26. In (a), $1 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 2+2 \mathrm{Kc} 3$ and now 2...Nf1 3 Rg1 and wins (see 4.25) or 2...Nf3 3 Rf4 (not 3 Rg 3 ); if $2 \ldots \mathrm{Nb} 1+$ then 3 Kc 2 wins, for example $3 \ldots \mathrm{a} 2$ $4 \mathrm{Rg} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 25 \mathrm{Kc} 3 \mathrm{Nb} 1+/ \mathrm{Ne} 4+6 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$. Not 1 Kc3? Ne3! 2 Re4/Rg3 Nd1+ (see 4.16).

In (b), 1 Kc 3 Kb 12 Rg 1 (see 4.25); if $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 3$ then 2 Kb 3 . Not 1 Kb 3 ? $\mathrm{Nd} 2+$ !

In (c), $1 \mathbf{R h} 3$ Ka2 $2 \mathbf{K c} 3 \mathrm{Kb1} 3 \mathbf{R f} 3$ etc (see 4.25). Not 1 Kc 3 ? Ng 3

## 2 Rg5/Rh3 Ne2+ 3 Kb3 Nd4+ (see

 4.20).[Mandler has the rook on $\mathrm{h6}$ in the diagram of (c) but " $2 \operatorname{Rg} 5$ " in the text. The solution is the same with the rook on h6, but the twinning $94-\mathrm{g} 5-\mathrm{h} 5$ is neater and I have assumed that the misprint is in the diagram.]
4.27. In (a), 1 Ra7 Nc3 2 Kc2 Nb5 3 Rd7 Ka2 4 Rd 2 and either 4...Na7 $5 \mathrm{Kc} 3+\mathrm{Kb} 16 \mathrm{~Kb} 3 \mathrm{Kc} 17 \mathrm{Rd} 5$ or 4...Nc75 Kc3+ Kbl 6 Kb 3 etc .

In (b), 1 Kc2 Nc5 2 Rc4 (not 2 Rf5, see 4.24).
4.28. In (a), $1 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Ka} 22 \mathrm{Rb4}$ (see 4.13 etc ); 1 Kb 3 ? Kbl! 2 Kxa 3 Kc 2.

In (b), 1 Kb 3 Kb 12 Kxa3; 1 Kc 2 ? Ka 2 ! (see 4.13).
[Mandler gives this towards the end of the "Black to play and draw" group, but it seems more conveniently placed here and I have taken the liberty of moving it. Part (b) seems to work just as well with the rook on d5 instead of d7.]
4.29. In (a), 1...Ka2 and draws because White can reach neither d2 nor b6 (see 4.23). 1...Kbl? $2 \mathrm{Rb} 4+$ ! Ka2 (2...Kc1 3 Ra4) 3 Rb6 etc.

In (b), 1...Kb1 $2 \mathbf{R b 6}+\mathrm{Ka} 2 / \mathrm{Kc} 1$. 1...Ka2? 2 Rb6.
[Mandler has the rook on g 4 and g 6 , but this allows an alternative refutation of 1...Ka2 in (b): $2 \mathrm{Kb4} \mathrm{Nb} 73 \mathrm{Rg} 2+\mathrm{Kbl}$ 4 Kxa 3 and the knight falls in 13 more moves.]
4.30. In (a), 1...Nd1 (see 4.16).

In (b), 1...Nd3 (see 4.24).
In (c), 1...Nc4 (see 4.21).
In (d), 1...Ka2 (see 4.13).
4.31. In (a), 1...Ka2 (see 4.14).

In (b), 1...Ne3+ (see 4.15).
In (c), 1...Nf2 (see 4.26).
4.32. In (a), 1...Ne1 2 Re3 Ng2 3 Re2 Nf4 4 Re4 Nd3 and either 5...Rd4/Re3 Nc5 etc (see note to diagram 4.22) or 5 ...Kxd3 Kb2 (see 4.11). If 4 Rf 2 then 4 ... Ne6 5 Rf6 Nc5; if 3 Rg 3 then $3 \ldots \mathrm{Nf} 44 \mathrm{~Kb} 3$ ( $4 \mathrm{Rf} 3 / \mathrm{Rg} 4$ $\mathrm{Ne} 2+$ ) Ne 25 Rg 2 ( $5 \mathrm{Re} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 4+6 \mathrm{Kc} 3$ Kbl , see 4.20) $\mathrm{Nd} 4+6 \mathrm{Kxa} 3 \mathrm{Kbl}$.

In (b), l...Ne3 (1...Ne1? 2 Re2!) $2 \mathrm{Re} 2 / \mathrm{Rg} 3 \mathrm{Nd} 1+3 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Ka} 2$ (see 4.16).

In (c), 1...Nd4 (see 4.20); $1 \ldots \mathrm{Ne} 3$ ? $2 \operatorname{Re} 5!\mathrm{Ndl}+3 \mathrm{Kc} 2(\sec 4.16)$.
4.33. In (a), 1...Ne4 2 Re6 (2 Rc4 Nf2 3 Rf4 Nd1, see 4.16) Ng5 (2...Nc5? 3 Re5 Nd3 4 Re3, see 4.24) 3 Re5/Rg6 Nf3 and draws.

In (b), 1...Ne6. 1...Ne4? 2 Re8.
4.34. In (a), 1...Nc3 2 Kc 2 Nd 1 (see 4.16); 1...Nd2? 2 Kc 2 and wins, because the knight cannot use f3 to reach d4.

In (b), 1...Nd2 2 Kc2 Nf3. Not 1...Nc3 on account of 2 Kc 2 Nd 1 3 Rh7/Rh8 (see 4.16).
["The White rook can also stand on g2", writes Mandler about (b), and I think I would put it there even though it gives White a choice of four moves, 3...Rg5/g6/g7/g8, in refuting 1...Nc3.]
4.35. In (a), 1...Nd2. 1...Nc3? 2 Re5! Na 43 Rb 5 Nb 24 Rb 4 (see 4.13).

In (b), 1...Nc3, because White does not have e5 at his disposal.
4.36. In (a), 1...Ne2+ $2 \mathrm{Kc} 2 \mathrm{Nd} 4+$ 3 Kc3 Kb1; 1...Nf3? 2 Rh3!

In (b), 1...Nf3! 2 Rd3 a2! and White has no good move.
4.37. In (a), 1...Na4.

In (b), 1...Na4 2 Kxa4 a2 3 Kb 3 and wins; 1...Nd7!

